Slide 1 Hello, welcome to this pod cast from the factsandthings website which as you can see on the first slide the website address for which can be found at https://factsandthings.com . So if you want similar articles and podcasts on a variety of things including politics, science, health and leisure, amongst others, then I would invite you to take a look at this website.

## Slide 2 Tax Increases ..... evil incarnate or useful economic tool?

So this podcast focuses on one of the main UK political issues of the day which is ....which of the two main political parties are currently winning in convincing the UK public that tax rises are bad.
Why is this happening? Why is there a race to the bottom, so to speak, when it comes to which party can deliver the lowest tax economy.
In general terms the Conservatives are thought of as the party that believes in a smaller public sector. You know the arguments - private enterprise is more efficient public because, for example, private business owners have an incentive to make profits and in doing so wish to use their assets to the maximum level of efficiency and also that competition is good because it results in pushing down prices and so on.
Now on the other hand those of a more left-wing mind set (arguably more associated with the Labour Party) might say that because there has to be returns to investors (for example in the form of dividends), in order to encourage those investors to put capital into private businesses in the first place, the actual cost savings (for the customer) is less because of the "creaming off", year on year, of those dividends. Additionally, those supporters of the public sector service provider, might, when it comes to competition argue that there is a big question mark as to how much competition actually exists when, say, it comes to providers of certain services such as electricity, railway services and so on..... frankly supposedly competitive businesses are often actually just oligopolies (a small number of enterprises which means there is not true competition) or even monopolies.

So, as of late, the Labour Party appears to be moving away from the idea that a larger public sector is a good thing and instead seems to be arguing that it will make the UK better by simply doing things differently and better.

Both Labour and Conservative party leaders seem hell bent to give credence to the narrative that raising taxes is not a good thing and that
in saying they won't raise taxes means that they are competent and trustworthy when it comes to the economy.

That does not make sense to me. I would have thought anyone running an economy properly should realised that to exercise fiscal policy (government spending and taxation) properly means using tax rises and tax cuts as appropriate, depending on the actual state of the economy at any given point in time.

Nonetheless Rishi Sunak and Keir Starmer seem hell bent on competing with each other in a bid to convince the people of the UK that raising taxes is a bad thing and theirs is the party that won't be raising taxes. Each gives the impression that they would prefer to have their teeth pulled rather than raise taxes. Just consider to the short exchange between Labour's Keir Starmer and the Conservatives Prime Minister Rishi Sunak in the PMs question time on 7 February 2024:

Slide 3 Rishi Sunak - "Mr Speaker, the best way to ensure that we continue to fund the NHS as we have is not to make $£ 28$ billion worth of unfunded spending commitments and just this morning independent Treasury officials have published the formal costing of just one part of their eco-promise, their insulation scheme and it turns out that it will cost double what they had previously claimed... Not the 6 billion that Labour accounted for but $£ 13$ billion every single year. It is now crystal clear that they have absolutely no plan but we all know how they are going to fund that gap... More taxes on hard-working people."

Slide 4 Keir Starmer... This is Mr 25 tax rises... He is literally the country's expert on putting taxes up... And he thinks he can lecture everyone else on the economy.

Now, Starmer does follow this up with a comment on non-doms and as he put it "tax avoidance". However, in that regard, the Labour party is reported in the Guardian, on 12 Jan 24, as looking to water down their non-dom tax policy (which applies to persons who are registered with HM revenue and Customs as tax resident in the UK but who do not have to pay UK tax on income and capital gains earned overseas unless they bring their money into the UK or deposit it into a UK bank account).

Slide 5 For details of non-dom status you can find these on the gov.uk website:
https://www.gov.uk/tax-foreign-income/non-domiciled-residents

As it says on the website if you are non-domiciled you do not pay UK tax on your foreign income or gains if both the following apply:
(1)they're less than $£ 2000$ in the tax year
(2)you do not bring them into the UK for example by transferring them to a UK bank account

Also non-dons pay an annual charge of either:
(1)£30,000 if they have been here for at least 7 of the previous 9 tax years (2) $£ 60,000$ for at least 12 of the previous 14 tax years

So a person with non-Dom status is someone who lives in the UK and is tax resident in the UK but who has a permanent home outside the country. They must demonstrate to HMRC that their domicile at least for tax purposes is in another country. According to the gov.uk website an individual's domicile is usually the country your father considered his permanent home when you were born.

Labour's non-dom policy is being watered down though. This was commented on by Hilary Schan, co-chair of Momentum, the left wing campaign group said in a Guardian article at https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2024/jan/12/flagship-labour-plan-scrap-non-dom-tax-breaks in which she said:
""Full abolition of the non-dom tax status is a common sense, popular policy. So it beggars belief that the Labour leadership is watering down an already weak commitment on non-doms, and sacrificing muchneeded funds for Britain's broken public services in the process."

Slide 6 Tax Increases ..... evil incarnate or useful economic tool?
Anyway, the narrative being put forward by Labour and Conservatives is that tax rises are essentially bad. But is that really the case?

Slide 7 In this podcast I will explore this narrative further and provide facts (and other things) on the following questions:
(1)Is the UK tax burden really the highest it's ever been?
(2)Can the UK afford tax increases?
(3)Does the public sector give value for money?

Slide 8 Is the UK Tax burden the highest its ever been ?
Slide 9 So firstly some background details, to set the scene:

Let's have a look at some statistics for the public sector receipts i.e. how much of the tax receipts is allocated to each different government department

Statistics from the Commons Library at the website https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-8513/ give the following information on tax (and other receipts):

## Slide 10



Now government revenue (note not all of this is tax as you will see other receipts mentioned at $£ 104$ billion) raised in 2022/2023 approximately 1,027 billion. That's 1,027 thousand million pounds or put another way 1.027 million million pounds.

Slide 11 Let's though have a close look at this by reviewing gov.uk National statistics spreadsheets showing detailed analysis of the tax revenue and other receipts, GDP figures and so on.

## https://ifs.org.uk/taxlab/taxlab-key-questions/what-does-government-spend-money

Now commentators, political and those in the media regularly comment that the tax burden is the highest that it has ever been - let's take a closer look at that and see what they mean and whether it is truly the full picture and whether there are other factors behind those figures.

Slide 12


Okay, so you will see that in 2021/2022 total HMRC tax revenue was 716.2 billion (given as a forecast) and when added to other sources of revenue from the government, some of which are taken to be taxes such as vehicle excise duties, business rates, council tax and also other nontax elements such as interest and dividends, gross operating surplus and other receipts and taxes this gives a total of 917.7 billion.
The forecast for total government revenue for the next tax financial year of 2022 - 2023 was $£ 1019.7$ billion as you can see from the previous slide above that is similar to the actual eventual of $£ 1027$ billion. As you will note approximately $£ 104$ billion of that overall figure is attributed to "other receipts".

So you can see in the government's own revenue composition spreadsheet looking at the "Composition National Accounts Total

Revenues"spreadsheet we can see an upward trend in gross figures and in \% of GDP figures too as shown in the slide.

## Slide 13

| Financial year | Total government revenue |  | Total tax revenue |  | GDP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fbillion (nominal) | \%GDP | fbillion (nominal) | \%GDP | fbillion (nominal) |
| - 1988-89 | 202.8 | 35.5 | 177.7 | 31.1 | 570.6 |
| - 1989-90 | 218.8 | 34.7 | 193.2 | 30.7 | 630.3 |
| - 1990-91 | 230.5 | 33.9 | 206.6 | 30.4 | 680.0 |
| - 1991-92 | 239.8 | 33.5 | 216.8 | 30.3 | 716.1 |
| \| 1992-93 | 237.1 | 32.1 | 214.8 | 29.1 | 738.4 |
| ) 1993-94 | 244.9 | 31.3 | 221.8 | 28.3 | 782.8 |
| 1994-95 | 264.7 | 32.2 | 241.0 | 29.3 | 821.5 |
| ! 1995-96 | 287.5 | 33.2 | 260.6 | 30.1 | 866.2 |
| 1996-97 | 299.7 | 32.4 | 273.9 | 29.6 | 924.5 |
| - 1997-98 | 334.2 | 34.6 | 301.0 | 31.2 | 965.5 |
| - 1998-99 | 355.6 | 35.2 | 321.2 | 31.8 | 1010.7 |
| - 1999-00 | 379.8 | 35.9 | 344.3 | 32.5 | 1058.5 |
| - 2000-01 | 406.4 | 36.5 | 368.5 | 33.1 | 1114.3 |
| - 2001-02 | 412.2 | 35.8 | 374.5 | 32.5 | 1153.0 |
| - 2002-03 | 417.7 | 34.6 | 380.2 | 31.4 | 1209.0 |
| 1 2003-04 | 452.0 | 35.4 | 411.7 | 32.3 | 1275.3 |
| 2004-05 | 484.3 | 36.1 | 442.2 | 32.9 | 1342.3 |
| - 2005-06 | 521.5 | 36.7 | 473.2 | 33.3 | 1421.0 |
| - 2006-07 | 552.2 | 37.1 | 502.3 | 33.8 | 1488.0 |
| - 2007-08 | 583.9 | 37.3 | 528.8 | 33.7 | 1567.0 |
| - 2008-09 | 569.1 | 35.9 | 510.2 | 32.2 | 1583.4 |
| - 2009-10 | 564.0 | 36.1 | 503.9 | 32.3 | 1561.3 |
| 2010-11 | 603.4 | 37.0 | 540.8 | 33.2 | 1630.5 |
| 2011-12 | 624.9 | 37.4 | 559.6 | 33.5 | 1671.4 |
| - 2012-13 | 636.8 | 36.9 | 565.8 | 32.8 | 1727.0 |
| ) 2013-14 | 663.8 | 36.8 | 589.6 | 32.6 | 1806.1 |
| 2014-15 | 690.6 | 36.8 | 611.7 | 32.6 | 1875.9 |
| 2015-16 | 714.1 | 36.9 | 633.8 | 32.7 | 1937.6 |
| 2016-17 | 757.6 | 37.4 | 676.5 | 33.4 | 2022.9 |
| - 2017-18 | 780.7 | 37.1 | 700.5 | 33.3 | 2102.9 |
| - 2018-19 | 813.4 | 37.4 | 735.2 | 33.8 | 2177.2 |
| - 2019-20 | 826.4 | 36.7 | 743.5 | 33.1 | 2249.4 |
| -2020-21 | 793.4 | 38.0 | 709.5 | 34.0 | 2085.2 |
| 2021-22 | 917.7 | 39.3 | 828.8 | 35.5 | 2337.8 |
| 1 2022-23 | 1019.7 | 40.7 | 922.1 | 36.8 | 2504.4 |
| 1 2023-24 | 1057.6 | 41.1 | 950.5 | 36.9 | 2573.2 |
| 2024-25 | 1103.7 | 41.4 | 995.6 | 37.3 | 2668.7 |
| ! 2025-26 | 1136.9 | 41.2 | 1029.6 | 37.3 | 2759.3 |
| - 2026-27 | 1183.7 | 41.5 | 1073.2 | 37.7 | 2849.7 |
| - 2027-28 | 1230.3 | 41.7 | 1113.4 | 37.7 | 2949.8 |

## Slide 14

## 21-22 £828.8 billion Tax rev / $£ 2,337.8$ Billion (GDP) $=35.5 \%$

22-23 (Forecast) £922.1 billion Tax Rev / $£ 2,504.4$ Billion (GDP) = 36.8\%

23-24 (Forecast) £950.5 billion Tax Rev / £2,573 Billion (GDP) $=36.9 \%$
24-25 (Forecast) £995.6 billion Tax Rev / £2668.7 Billion (GDP) $=37.3 \%$

25-26 (Forecast) £1029.6 billion Tax Rev / £2759.3 Billion (GDP) = 37.3\%

26-27 (Forecast) £1073.2 billion Tax Rev / £2849.7 Billion (GDP) $=$ 37.7\%

So from 2021 - 2022 there is an increase in tax in gross figures of approximately $£ 94$ billion to $£ 922$ billion in $22 / 23$. Beyond that it is projected to rise by approximately 28 billion between 2023 and 2024 and then following year on year increases by approximately $£ 45$ billion, then $£ 34$ billion and finally approximately a further£50 billion increase to take it to the tax revenue/GDP ratio of $37.7 \%$ in $26 / 27$ and beyond that in 27/28.

## Slide 15

| Current receipts, by tax and year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Share of GDP | CODE | n 4 | Outturn 2004-05 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outturn } \\ \text { 2005-06 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outturn } \\ & 2006-07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outturn } \\ \text { 2007-08 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outturn } \\ & \text { 2008-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Outturn 2009-10 | Outturn 2010-11 | Outturn 2011-12 | Outturn 2012-13 | Outturn 2013-14 | Outturn 2014-15 | Outturn 2015-16 | Outturn 2016-17 | Outturn 2017-18 | Outturn 2018-19 | Outturn <br> 2019-20 |  |
| Digital services tax |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Energy profits levy |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |
| Electricity generator levy |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other taxes ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.49 |
| of which: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern Ireland domestic rates | NSFA |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.09 |
| Northem Ireland business rates | NSEZ |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Consumer Credit Act fees | Tome |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Other levy-funded-body receipts | ITK |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |
| Camelot payments to National Lottery Distribution Fund | LYH |  | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1 |
| Betting levy | owee |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Rail franchise premia | UTT |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.09 |
| Passport fees | E896 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.09 |
| Plastic packaging tax |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.09 |
| Immigration skills surcharge | CSH8 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Visa and citizenship fees | 792 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Other small taxes ${ }^{k}$ |  | , | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.19 |
| National accounts taxes | GCSU | 6 | 32.9\% | 33.3\% | 33.8\% | 33.7\% | 32.2\% | 32.3\% | 33.2\% | 33.5\% | 32.8\% | 32.6\% | 32.6\% | 32.7\% | 33.4\% | 33.3\% | 33.8\% | 33.1\% | 34.0 |
| Interest and dividends | JW2L + JW2M |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.29 |
| Gross operating surplus | JW2K |  | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2. |
| Other receipts and adjustments' |  | 6 | -0.1\% | -0.1\% | -0.1\% | -0.1\% | -0.2\% | -0.1\% | -0.1\% | -0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Current receipts | JW2O | 6 | 36.1\% | 36.7\% | 37.1\% | 37.3\% | 35.9\% | 36.1\% | 37.0\% | 37.4\% | 36.9\% | 36.8\% | 36.8\% | 36.9\% | 37.4\% | 37.1\% | 37.4\% | 36.7\% | 38. |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Sea revenues ${ }^{\text {m }}$ |  |  | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Slide 16 So the tax burden is going up but the question is why?

## Slide 17

## https://news.sky.com/story/tory-public-service-pledges-and-corrective-measures-after-austerity-pushed-tax-burden-up-12972281

29 Sep 2023

## "The Institute for Fiscal Studies' analysis that the UK's tax burden is the largest since the Second World War puts into historical context what has been clear since Boris Johnson became prime minister.

Taxes have been rising sharply, either by stealth or declared policy, to keep up with election promises and demand for public services starved of investment during the previous decade.

Calculated as a share of GDP the tax take will have risen to $37 \%$ by the next election, a $4 \%$ increase since 2019 and a figure not seen since the 1940s.

So the fact is that in gross figures terms the tax receipts are increasing but, and it is a big BUT, that is only half the picture for the following reasons:

Slide 18 Population growth: Figures from https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/uk-population/ show that population growth has increased in 2019 at 66,778,659 to current figures in 2024 of $67,961,439$ - so in that five year period an increase of 1,118,780.

More importantly perhaps are the figures from ONS statistics shows the following:

## Slide 19

the total income tax liability in 2122 was 217,000 , million i.e. 217 billion
total income tax liability in 22/23 was 243 billion
total income tax liability in $23 / 24$ is estimated to be 265 billion
in other words there are more taxpayers paying more tax and although the figures do show that the tax burden has gone up this must be at least in part reflected by the fact that there are more taxpayers paying more tax. As such to portray it as simply that the tax burden has gone up in relation to each individual is not necessarily the complete picture.

## Slide 20

Now the mitigating factor to counter the argument of the increased tax burden is that to an extent these statistics all relative and so a closer
look should be taken at other countries around the world to see where the UK stands.


Now you can see the UK's position in regard to 2021 figures at approx. 34 \%.

Beyond that the projection for 2027/2028 is the second red line which shows approximately $37.7 \%$. (This figure will be familiar to you from the previous slides in regard to ratio of tax revenue to GDP).

So the point is that in general terms the UK is about $2 / 3$ of the way down in this OECD country graph, in regard to its tax revenue ratio to GDP and also although there has been an increase in gross figures in terms tax revenue look, as politicians have a habit of saying, look......there is the fact that there are more individuals in the country earning revenue and hence the tax revenue will be increasing. Additionally there are other
factors as detailed in the office for budget responsibility's article: "The U.K.'s tax burden in historical and international context" from March 2023.

Slide 21 This can be found at https://obr.uk/box/the-uks-tax-burden-in-historical-and-international-context/

Between 2005 to 2020, the UK tax burden remained relatively stable, as the rate of VAT was raised but the income tax personal allowance was made more generous. ${ }^{e}$ Other high-income economies, particularly Japan, Canada and France, saw growth in their tax burdens. This widened the gap between the tax burdens of the UK and rest of the G7 to 4.4 per cent of GDP and the EU14 to 7.2 per cent of GDP.

While tax-to-GDP ratios remained remarkably stable across high-income economies during the pandemic year of 2020 (as tax revenues and GDP fell to similar degrees), the average tax burden rose sharply in 2021. This was in large part thanks to taxes on corporate incomes as profits recovered more quickly than GDP in the wake of the pandemic. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Growth in the UK's tax burden in 2021 (1.4 per cent of GDP) was above the advanced-economy average ( 0.6 per cent of GDP). In the G7, only Germany saw a larger rise than the UK in 2021 at 1.6 per cent of GDP.

Slide 22 So now we come to the next question which is can the UK afford to pay more tax?

Slide 23 Well as we have already seen there are numerous types of tax revenue including income tax on individuals, national insurance contributions for individuals, capital gains tax, corporation tax and so on.

Let's focus on income tax and national insurance contributions which as you will see in the revenue composition spreadsheet which can be found at :

Go to:
https://ifs.org.uk/taxlab/taxlab-data-item/ifs-revenue-compositionspreadsheet

You will see there that income tax for21/22 was at the time this was compiled by the IFS was estimated at $£ 220.6$ billion whilst the forecast for $22 / 23$ was $£ 248.2$ billion. So quite a substantial portion of the approximately currently $£ 900$ billion HMRC tax revenue take year-onyear

Slide 24 So if we look at the figures from the office for National statistics spreadsheet:

Go to "Table 2.5 Income Tax liabilities by Income Range for 2021 to 2022" you can see their various statistics of interest, so for example looking at the first line you will see that for 2021/2022 for a range of total income from $£ 12,570$ to $£ 15,000$ the total number of income tax payers was $3,130,000$ earning a total income of 43 billion and with a total income tax liability of $£ 664$ million. The average amount of income tax paid for persons earning an average of $£ 229$ Income tax and £114 National insurance
https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/income-tax-liabilities-by-income-range

| Total number of <br> Income Tax <br> payers - in <br> thousands | Total income - in <br> millions | Total Income <br> Tax liability - in <br> millions | Average rate of <br> Income Tax |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2,770 | 38,000 | 611 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 5,890 | 102,000 | 4,960 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 10,100 | 249,000 | 22,200 | $8.9 \%$ |
| 10,200 | 393,000 | 48,500 | $12.3 \%$ |
| 5,320 | 347,000 | 65,300 | $18.8 \%$ |
| 864 | 103,000 | 30,500 | $29.6 \%$ |
| 253 | 42,900 | 14,700 | $34.2 \%$ |
| 313 | 91,000 | 34,800 | $38.3 \%$ |
| 57 | 38,100 | 15,500 | $40.8 \%$ |
| 19 | 25,200 | 10,300 | $40.7 \%$ |
| 9 | 45,300 | 18,000 | $39.7 \%$ |
| 35,900 | $1,480,000$ | 265,000 | $18 \%$ |

Slide 25 Now you can find all of these following figures for Income Tax and NIC by using the calculator at:
https://www.tax.service.gov.uk/estimate-paye-take-home-pay/yourpay

The information and further the table below considers the following for each tax bracket:
(1) Tax bracket e.g. $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$
(2) Total number of tax payers, for the income bracket of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$ this would be $2,770,000$.
(3)Total income tax receipts $=$ for the income bracket of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$ this would be $38,000,000,000$ i.e. 38 billion
(4)Average income for each tax bracket. e.g. for the income bracket of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$ this would be $£ 38$ billion divided by $2,770,000$ which is $£ 13,718$.
(5) Tax paid on $£ 13,718$. In this case it would be 13,718-£12,570 (personal tax allowance is deducted) $=£ 1,148$ $£ 1,148 \times 20 \%=£ 229$.
(6) Tax payable if the income tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ : In this case it would be $£ 1,148 \times 21 \%=£ 241$.
(7)Difference between tax at current rate and increased rate which in this case would be $£ 241-£ 229=£ 12$.
(8)Difference in tax receipt for each income bracket multiplied by the number of payers in that income bracket. In this case $£ 2,770,000 \times £ 12$ $=£ 33,461,600$
(9)Summary: In this case if the total tax percentage was increased by $1 \%$ for those whose income in the range of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$, based on a calculation of the average (mean) figure for the income, that would result in an increase in the overall income tax receipts of $£ 33.46$ million.
(10) Income net of tax on current figures $£ 13,718-£ 229=£ 13,489$. However, there would also be National Insurance of approximately $£ 138$ which would have to be deducted to give the final disposable net income of $£ 13,489-£ 138$ equals $£ 13,351$. Note due to NIC change from 6 Jan 24 to reduce say Class 1 NICs from $12 \%$ to $10 \%$ this would result in NIC of approx. $£ 114$ rather than $£ 138$ but this would need to be apportioned as 6 Jan 24 sits within the tax year for individuals of 6April to 5 April for each FY.
(11) Income net of tax based on 1\% increase in income tax rates. $£ 13,477$. Assuming National Insurance rates do not change then again there would be approximate $£ 138$ (now approx. £114 but apportioned for
period as NIC percentage changed mid FY at 6 Jan 24) to deduct leaving a total of $£ 13,477$ - $£ 138$ (now approx. $£ 114$ ) equals $£ 13,339$ ( $£ 13,315$ approx). Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = $£ 256.51$ per week (approx. $£ 256$ per week following NIC reduction in Jan 2024).
(1) £15,000-£20,000
(2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket is $5,890,000$
(3) Total income received from all income earners in this bracket is $£ 102$ billion
(4) Average income for those in this tax bracket (102,000,000,000 $\div 5,890,000)=£ 17,317$
(5) Tax paid on $£ 17,317$ is as follows: first $£ 12,570=£ 0$, $£ 12,570$ to $£ 17,317$ equals $£ 4,747$ and $£ 4,747$ multiplied by $20 \%$ equals $£ 949$.
(6) Tax payable if income rate was increased by $1 \%$ would be $£ 4,747 \times 21 \%$ which is $£ 996$
(7) As such difference in income tax at $21 \%$ and $20 \%$ is $996-£ 949=£ 47$
(8) Difference in tax receipt for increase in income tax of $£ 47$ per person (multiplied by number of payers in that bracket). In this case it would be $5,890,000$ multiplied by $£ 47=276,830,000$
(9) Summary: in this case a total income tax percentage increase of $1 \%$ (or one penny in the pound) would lead to an increase in overall tax receipts of £0. 276 billion
(10) Income net of tax on current figures would be $£ 17,317$ less $£ 949=£ 16,368$. However, there would also be approximately $£ 570$ national insurance contribution based on current rates to also be deducted leaving a total of $£ 16,368-£ 570=£ 15,798$
Based on recent changes from 6 Jan 24 to reduce Class 1 NICs from $12 \%$ to $10 \%$ this would result in NIC of approx. $£ 474$ rather than $£ 570$ but this would need to be apportioned as 6 Jan 24 sits within the tax year for individuals of 6April to 5 April for each FY.
(11) Income net of tax on figures based on $1 \%$ increase in income tax rates would be $£ 17,317-£ 996$ $=£ 16,321$ (also having deducted approx’ $£ 570$ (approx. £474 following NIC reduction) for national insurance contributions this result in $£ 15,751$ per annum (approx. £15847 following reduction in NIC at 6 Jan 24).

|  | Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = £302 per week. (£304.75 following reduction in NIC at 6 Jan 24) |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1) $£ 20,000-£ 30,000$ income bracket <br> (2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket 10,100,000 <br> (3) Total income received from all persons in this bracket is £249,000 million ie £249 billion <br> (4) Average income for those in this tax bracket (249,000,000,000 $\div 10.1$ million) is $£ 24,653$. <br> (5) Tax payable on $£ 24,653$ is as follows: <br> first $£ 12,570$ equals $£ 0$ $£ 12,570$ to $£ 24,653$ <br> equals $£ 12,083$ <br> £12,083 multiplied by <br> $20 \%$ equals $£ 2,416$ <br> (6) If tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ the amount of tax would rise to $£ 2537$ <br> (7) i.e. a difference of $£ 121$ between that and taxes at 20\% <br> (8) So the difference in tax receipt overall for a $1 \%$ increase in tax rate for all income earners in this bracket would be $£ 121$ multiplied by 10.1 million equals 1.2 billion | (9) So in summary the extra tax raised by increasing the tax rate by $1 \%$ (or one penny in the pound) is $£ 1.2$ billion. <br> (10) The income, net of tax, based on current income income tax rates would be approximately $£ 24,653-£ 2,416=£ 22,237$ per annum. Note that National Insurance contributions would be $£ 1,450$ (approximately) and as such the total disposable income for the year would be 22,237-1450 $=£ 20,787$ per tax payer. <br> Based on recent changes from 6 Jan 24 to reduce Class 1 NICs from $12 \%$ to $10 \%$ this would result in NIC of approx. $£ 1208$ rather than $£ 1450$ but this would need to be apportioned as 6 Jan 24 sits within the tax year for individuals of 6 April to 5 April for each FY. <br> (11)The income net of income tax should it be a $1 \%$ increase in tax would be $£ 24,653-£ 2537=$ $£ 22,116$ (note assuming national insurance contribution rates do not change there would need to be a further $£ 1450$ approximately deducted from this figure to give $£ 20,666)$. Having said that NIC changed at 6 Jan 24 from 12\% to $10 \%$ so approx. $£ 1208$ for year but this would have to be apportioned as started mid year. Disposable income after |


|  | income tax and NIC deduction = £397 per week. (Feb 24 - <br> £20,908 / 52) = £402 per week) |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1) $£ \mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{£ 5 0 , 0 0 0 = \text { income }}$ tax bracket <br> (2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket is 10.2 million. <br> (3) Total income for all tax payers in this bracket is $£ 393$ billion. <br> (4) As such average income for those in this tax bracket would be $£ 393,000,000,000 \div 10.2$ million i.e. average of $£ 38,529$ per person. <br> (5) Tax payable on $£ 38,529$ is as follows: <br> first $£ 12,570$ equals $£ 0$ $£ 12,570$ to $38,529=£ 25,959$ tax at $20 \%$ equals $£ 5,191$ <br> (6) If tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ the amount of tax would rise to $£ 5,451$. <br> (7) The difference in tax between $20 \%$ rate in $21 \%$ rate is $£ 5451$ - $£ 5191$ equals $£ 260$ <br> (8) If the tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ the amount of tax produced would rise to $£ 260$ multiplied by 10.2 million equals 2.65 billion | (9) In summary an increase of $1 \%$ in tax rate would for this income tax bracket resulted in an increase tax revenue for income tax of $£ 2.65$ billion. <br> (10) The income net of tax based on the current income tax rates would be approximately $£ 38,529$ $£ 5191$ which equals $£ 33,338$. (Note that National Insurance contributions would be approximately $£ 3,115$ and as such the income net of income tax and national insurance contributions would be $£ 33,338-£ 3115=$ £30,223 per annum (However, NIC reduced on 6 Jan 24 Class 1 from $12 \%$ to $10 \%$ so NIC reduced to approximately $£ 2,595$ - but note would need to be apportioned due to NIC reduction taking place part way through FY). <br> (11) The income net of income tax should it be increased by $1 \%$ would be $£ 38,529$ minus $£ 5451$ which is $£ 33,078$ (note as above if National Insurance contributions remain the same there would be a further deduction of $£ 3115$ and a search total disposable income net of income tax and national insurance contributions would be $£ 29,960$ ) However, as already noted above NIC reduced to very approx. $£ 2,595$ so disposable income $£ 38,529-£ 5,191-$ NIC of approx. $£ 2,595=£ 30,743$ per year or $£ 30,743 / 52=$ |


|  | Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = £576 per week (Now approx. £591 per week). |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1) $£ 50,000-£ \mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ income tax bracket. <br> (2) Total number of Taxpayers in this bracket is $5,320,000$ <br> (3) Total income of those in this tax bracket is $£ 347$ billion <br> (4) As such average income for those in this tax bracket would be $£ 347,000,000,000 \div 5,320,000$ i.e. an average of $£ 65,225$ <br> (5) Tax payable on the $£ 65,225$ is as follows: $\begin{aligned} & £ 12,570 \times 0 \%=0 \\ & £ 37,700(50,270-12,570) \times \\ & 20 \%=£ 7540 \\ & £ 65,225-£ 50,270=14,955 \\ & \times 40 \%=\quad £ 5982 \end{aligned}$ <br> Total tax $=£ 13,522$ <br> (6) If tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ then total tax liability would rise to $£ 37,700$ multiplied by $21 \%$ equals $£ 7,917$ <br> £14,955 multiplied by $41 \%=$ £6,131 <br> Total $=£ 14,048$ <br> (7) the difference in tax payable even a $1 \%$ increase in tax would be $£ 14,048-£ 13,522=£ 526$ <br> (8) If the tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ then the additional income tax raised for this income tax bracket would be 5,320,000 multiplied by $£ 526=£ 2.798$ billion | (9) in summary the additional income tax raised by 1 p in the pound (ie by $1 \%$ ) would be sufficient to raise an additional $£ 2.798$ billion <br> 10) An individual's take-home pay after income tax would be $£ 65,225-13,522=£ 51,703$. Note that assuming national insurance contributions remain the same there would be an additional national insurance contribution of approx. £4823 leaving a total disposable income of after national insurance and income tax deductions $£ 51,703-£ 4823=$ £46,880 (However, NIC reduced on 6 Jan 24 Class 1 from 12\% to 10\% so NIC reduced to approximately £4,069 - but note would need to be apportioned due to NIC reduction taking place part way through FY). <br> (11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the takehome pay after income tax would be $£ 65,225$ less $£ 14,048$ equals 51,177 which after the deduction of national insurance contribution of $£ 4823$ would leave a disposable income of approximately $£ 46,354$ per annum. (However, as already noted above NIC reduced to very approx. $£ 4,069$ so disposable |


|  | income $£ 51,703$ - NIC of approx. $£ 4,069=£ 47364$ per year or £47364 / 52 = £893 per week ) <br> Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = $£ 891$ per week ( $£ 910$ per week following NIC changes). |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1) $£ 100,000-£ 150,000$ income tax bracket <br> (2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket 864,000 people <br> (3) Total income in this tax bracket is 103 billion <br> (4) As such average income for those in this tax bracket would be £103,000,000,000 $\div 864,000=$ £119,212 <br> (5) Tax payable on $£ 119,212$ is as follows: <br> Tax on first $£ 2,964=£ 0$ <br> (£119,2122/2 = £9606 , £12,570 <br> - £9606 = £2,964) <br> tax payable on $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ equals $£ 7540$ <br> tax payable on next amount <br> (£119,212 less £2,964 + £37,700 $=£ 78,548$ ) equals at a $40 \%$ rate £31,419 <br> i.e. total tax of $£ 38,959$ <br> (6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then this would lead to an increase in tax of approximately $£ 1,162$ calculated as follows - No tax on first £2,964. £37,700 multiply by $21 \%=£ 7,917$, $£ 78,548$ multiplied by $41 \%$ equals £32204. Total £40,121 <br> (7) this means that an increase of $1 \%$ would result for each individual in an increase of tax of approximately $£ 1162$ | (9) In summary the additional income tax raised by increasing the rate by 1 p in the pound (i.e. by $1 \%$ ) would be sufficient to raise an additional $£ 1.004$ billion. <br> (10) An individual's take-home pay after income tax for this bracket would be £119,212£38,959 which gives disposable income of $£ 80,253$ before national insurance contribution deductions of $£ 5902$ which, after income tax and national insurance, leaves a total disposable income for the year of $£ 74351$. (However, NIC reduced on 6 Jan 24 Class 1 from $12 \%$ to $10 \%$ so NIC reduced to approximately $£ 5,148$ - but note would need to be apportioned due to NIC reduction taking place part way through FY). <br> (11) if there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the takehome pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 40,121$ and $£ 5902$ would be $£ 73,189$. (or following NIC change on 6 Jan 24 the amount of NIC approximately $£ 5,148$ and salary would be £75105) <br> Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = |

(8) if the tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ then the additional income tax raised for this income tax bracket would be 864,000 multiplied by $£ 1162$ which equals 1.004 billion
(1) $£ 150,000-£ 200,000$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 253,000
(3) The total income for this bracket is 42,900 million
(4) As such the average income would be 42,900 million $\div 253,000$ which gives an average income of £169,565
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 169,565$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax the additional $£ 87440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
beyond that the remaining
$£ 44,425$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 19,991$. Giving a total tax of $£ 62,507$.
(6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 169,565$ would be $£ 7,540+£ 35850+20,435$ giving a total tax of $£ 63825$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of £1318
(8) This would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 1,318$ multiplied by 253,000 giving a total of $£ 3.335$ billion
£1407 per week. (or following NIC change on 6 Jan 24 would be $£ 75105 / 52=£ 1444$ per week.
(9) in summary an increase in the income tax rate of 1 p in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 3.335$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be $£ 169,565$ less income tax of approximately $£ 62,507=£ 107,058$ Less NIC of $£ 6,910=£ 100,148$ (or less very approximately $£ 6,155$ for NIC following changes on 6 Jan 24 to NIC rates but not accounting for apportionment) to give $£ 100,903$ )
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the takehome pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 63825$ and $£ 6910$ would be $£ 98,830$.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = £1900 per week. (or following NIC change on 6 Jan 24 the mount of $£ 100,903 / 52=£ 1940$ per week)
(1) $£ 200,000-£ 500,000$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 313,000
(3) The total income for this bracket is $£ 91$ billion
(4) As such the average income would be $£ 91$ billion $\div 313,000$ which gives an average income of £290,734
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 290,734$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax
the additional $£ 87,440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of $£ 125,140$ is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
beyond that the remaining $£ 165,594$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 74,517$. Giving a total tax of $£ 117,033$.
(6) if tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 290,734$ would be $£ 7,540+£ 35,850+76,173$ giving a total tax of $£ 119,563$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of £2,530
(8) This would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 2,530$ multiplied by 313,000 giving a total of $£ 7.91$ billion
(1) $£ 500,000-£ 1,000,000$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 57,000 .
(3) The total income for this bracket is 38.1 billion.
(9) In summary an increase in the income tax rate of 1 p in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 7.91$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be 290,734 less income tax of approximately $£ 117,033=£ 173,701$ Less NIC of $£ 9333=£ 164,368$ (or less very approximately $£ 8,579$ for NIC following changes on 6 Jan 24 to NIC rates but not accounting for apportionment) to give £165122)
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the takehome pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 119,563$ and $£ 9,333$ would be $£ 161,838$.

## Disposable income after

 income tax and NIC deduction = £3112 per week (or following NIC change on 6 Jan 24 would be 165,122 / 52 = £ 3,175 per week)(9) In summary an increase in the income tax rate of 1 p in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 3.954$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be $£ 668,421$ less
(4) As such the average income would be 31.8 billion $\div 57,000$ which gives an average income of £668,421
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 668,421$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax the additional $£ 87440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
beyond that the remaining $£ 543,281$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 244,476$. Giving a total tax of $£ 286,992$
(6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 668,421$ would be $£ 7,540+£ 35,850+249,909$ giving a total tax of $£ 293,299$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of tax taken of $£ 6,307$
(8) this would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 6,307$ multiplied by 57,000 giving a total of $£ 3.954$ billion
(1) $£ 1,000,000-£ 2,000,000$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 19,000
(3) The total income for this bracket is 25.2 billion
(4) As such the average income would be 25.2 billion $\div 19,000$ which gives an average income of £1,326,315
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 1,326,315$ will be as follows:
income tax of approximately $£ 286992$ = £381,429 Less NIC of $£ 16,887=£ 364,542$ (or less very approximately $£ 16133$ for NIC following changes on 6 Jan 24 to NIC rates but not accounting for apportionment) to give £365296)
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the takehome pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 293,299$ and $£ 16887$ would be $£ 358,235$.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = £6889 per week. (or following NIC changes on 6 Jan 24 to give approx. $£ 365,296$ / $52=£ 7024$ per week)
(9) In summary an increase in the income tax rate of $1 p$ in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 2.45$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be $£ 1,326,315$ less income tax of approximately $£ 583,044=£ 743,271$ Less NIC of $£ 30,045=£ 713,226$ (or less very approximately $£ 29290$ for NIC following changes on 6 Jan 24 to
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give £7540 worth of tax
the additional £87,440 taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
beyond that the remaining
$£ 1,201,175$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 540,528$. Giving a total tax of $£ 583,044$
(6) if tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 1,326,315$ would be $7,540+£ 35850+£ 552,540$ giving a total tax of $£ 595,940$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of tax taken of $£ 12,896$
(8) this would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 12,896$ multiplied by 19,000 giving a total of $£ 2.5$ billion
(1) $£ 2,000,000$ plus is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 9,000
(3) The total income for this bracket is 45.3 billion
(4) As such the average income would be 45.3 billion $\div 9,000$
which gives an average income of £5,033,333
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 5,033,033$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax
the additional $£ 87440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$

NIC rates but not accounting for apportionment) to give $£ 713981$ )
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the takehome pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 595,940$ and $£ 30,045$ would be $£ 700,330$

## Disposable income after

 income tax and NIC deduction = $£ 13,467$ per week. (or following NIC changes on 6 Jan 24 approx $£ 713,981 / 52=£ 13,730$ per week)(9) Summary: Increase of income tax rates of $1 \%$ would lead to additional tax for this bracket of income of $£ 4.417$ billion.
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be $£ 5,033,333$ less income tax of approximately £2,251,202 = £2,782,131 Less NIC of $£ 104,185=£ 2,677,946$ (or less very approximately $£ 103,431$ for NIC following changes on 6 Jan 24 to NIC rates but not accounting for apportionment) to give $£ 2,678,700$ )
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the takehome pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 2,300,284$
beyond that the remaining $£ 4,908,193$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 2,208,686$. Giving a total tax of $£ 2,251,202$
(6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 5,033,333$ would be $7,540+£ 35850+£ 2,257,768$ giving a total tax of $£ 2, \mathbf{3 0 0}, \mathbf{2 8 4}$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of tax taken of $£ 49,082$
(8) this would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 49,082$ multiplied by 9,000 giving a total of $£ 4.417$ billion
and $£ 104,185$ would be £2,628,864.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction = $£ 50,555$ per week. (or following NIC changes on 6 Jan 24 to give $2,678,700 / 52$ = £51,513 per week)

The additional amount of tax raised by a $1 \%$ increase in tax would be approximately as follows:

| Income Range | Tax raised by 1\% increase in tax <br> billions unless otherwise shown |
| :--- | :--- |
| $£ 12,570-£ 15,000$ | 33.46 million |
| $£ 15,000-£ 20,000$ | 0.276 |
| $£ 20,000-£ 30,000$ | 1.2 |
| $£ 30,000-£ 50,000$ | 2.65 |
| $£ 50,000-£ 100,000$ | 2.798 |
| $£ 100,000-£ 150,000$ | 1.004 |
| $£ 150,000-£ 200,000$ | 3.335 |
| $£ 200,000-£ 500,000$ | 7.91 |
| $£ 500,000-£ 1,000,000$ | 3.954 |
| $£ 1,000,000-£ 2,000,000$ | 2.45 |
| $£ 2,000,000+$ | 4.417 |
|  | $£ 29.994$ billion |

Now go to the following to examine the impact of different tax rises.

## Slide 26:

Page 29 of House of Commons Library - Tax Statistics : an overview
https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/CBP-8513/CBP8513.pdf

Go to page 29 for view on effect of different tax rises - some examples.


Also go to ready reckoner bulletin (Jan 24)
https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/direct-effects-of-illustrative-tax-changes/direct-effects-of-illustrative-tax-changes-bulletin-january-2023\#income-tax-rates

Slide 27

## 4. Direct effects of illustrative changes

## 5. Income Tax rates

| Illustrative tax changes | Current <br> Estimate, financial year 2024-25, £ miltion | Current <br> Estimate, financial year 2025-26, £ miltion | Current <br> Estimate, financial year 2026-27, £ miltion | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change starting rate for savings income by 1p | o | 20 | 15 | 1.2.3.4 |
| Change basic rate by 1p | 6000 | 7350 | 7250 | 1.2.3.4 |
| Change higher rate by 1p | 1250 | 1750 | 1750 | 1.2.3.4 |
| Increase <br> additional rate by <br> 1p (yield) | 105 | 195 | 170 | 1.2.3.4 |
| Decrease <br> additional rate by <br> 1p (cost) | 130 | 245 | 215 | 1.2.3.4 |

(1) The illustrative figures for Income Tax (apart from those exclusively for savings for dividends income) show the exchequer impact on the UK government (i.e. the impact on Income Tax revenues from England and Northern Ireland, plus any associated changes in the Scottish Government's and Welsh Government's block grants). The ready reckoner does not include any impacts of changes for the elements of Income Tax devolved for Scotland or Wales.
(2) The illustrative figure for changing the starting rate of Income Tax by 1 p assumes a minimum savings allowance of $20 \%$.
(3) The illustrative figures include estimates of taxpayers' behavioural responses. There can be significant uncertainty around these modelling assumptions, particularly concerning rate changes to the Income Tax and National Insurance Contributions of Additional Rate taxpayers.
(4) The figures differ from those in the Spring 2023 publication for a variety of reasons. including changes to forecast incomes and job growth. Additionally. HMRC have also reviewed their assumptions underlying behavioural responses in light of developments in the economic literature. including HMRC's own research on Scottish taxpayers. which has also had some impact on the illustrative changes shown here.

Slide 28 As at Dec 2022 the PM is entitled to claim £80,807. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ministerial-salary-data/salaries-of-members-of-his-majestys-government-april-2022-html ). As an MP as at April 2023 the UK’s PM can claim £86,584 https://www.theipsa.org.uk/mps-pay-and-pensions. So the Prime Minister of the UK is paid $£ 167,391$ (assuming a PM wishes to take the full amount of pay on offer) and if we consider that the usual working year is approximately 231 working days ( 365 days less entitlement of most workers ( https://www.gov.uk/holiday-entitlementrights\#:~:text=Statutory\ annual\ leave\ entitlement,of\ 5.6\% 20weeks\%20of\%20holiday. less weekends (104 days) less holiday
(inclusive of 8 days bank holiday) ie 28 days which is 233 days) at say 7.5 hours per day then that equates to an hourly rate of $£ 167,391$ / ( 233 $x 7.5)=£ 95.78$ per hour.

At an extreme if a Prime Minister worked every day and every hour of the year that would be equal to $£ 167,391$ / $(365 \times 24)=£ 19.10$ per hour

PS This does not include expenses that MPs can claim for accommodation, dependant travel, own MP travel costs, office costs, staff travel and staffing. The current PM aa at December 2023, Rishi Sunak, for 2022/23 (see https://www.theipsa.org.uk/mp-staffing-business-costs/your-mp/rishi-sunak/4483) claimed staffing costs of £210,764.08

As reported in the Guardian on 16 Feb 24 - see https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2024/feb/16/keir-starmer-paid-99400-in-uk-tax-on-404000-of-income-labour-reveals

Salary of $£ 128,291$ as MP and leader of the opposition. if we consider that the usual working year is approximately 231 working days ( 365 days less entitlement of most workers ( https://www.gov.uk/holiday-entitlement-
rights\#:~:text=Statutory\%20annual\%20leave\%20entitlement,of\%205.6\% 20weeks\%20of\%20holiday. less weekends (104 days) less holiday (inclusive of 8 days bank holiday) ie 28 days which is 233 days) at say 7.5 hours per day then that equates to an hourly rate of $£ 128,291$ / ( 233 $x 7.5)=£ .73$ per hour.

The Chief Executive of British Petroleum in 2022 was (according the BP's remuneration report) paid approximately ten million pounds. This equates to $£ 10,025,782(233 \times 7.5)=£ 5,737.21$ per hour.

Based on a working week of 40 hours this would equate to:
$£ 10,025,782(233 \times 8)=£ 5,378$ per hour.
At an extreme if the CEO of BP worked every day and every hour of the year that would equate to $£ 10,025,782$ / $(365 \times 24)=£ 1144$ per hour

## https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-

sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/investors/bp-directors-remuneration-report-2022.pdf

## Slide 29

The General Secretary of UNISON was paid for year ended 31.12.21 the total salary and benefits of $£ 151,643$

This equates to $£ 151,643 /(233 \times 7.5)=£ 86.77$ per hour.
Based on a 40 hour working week this equates to $£ 151,643 /(233 \times 8)=$ $£ 81.35$ per hour.

At an extreme if working every hour of every day of the year that would be equal to : $£ 151,643 /(365 \times 24)=$ estimate $£ 17.31$ per hour https://www.unison.org.uk/content/uploads/2022/07/26805_Statement_of _financial_affairs.pdf

## Slide 30

|  | ² |
| :--- | ---: |
| President - no salary or other taxable benefits | NIL |
| Members of the National Executive Council | NIL |
| - no salary or other taxable benefits |  |
| General Secretary | 146,441 |
| Salary | $(10,605)$ |
| Salary sacrifice | 5,984 |
| London weighting | 3,028 |
| Taxable subsistence | 6,795 |
| Benefit in Kind | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 6 4 3}$ |
| Total salary and benefits | 41,966 |
| Employer's national insurance costs | $\mathbf{3 2 , 2 8 2}$ |
| Employer's Pension Contribution | $\mathbf{2 2 5 , 8 9 1}$ |
| Total salary and on costs for the | $\underline{212.2021}$ |

## Slide 31 Now lets turn to companies - private limited companies and public limited companies - listed and unlisted

## Can any companies afford to pay more tax - corporation tax in this case.

Slide 32 You pay Corporation Tax at the rates that applied in your company's accounting period for Corporation Tax.

If your company made more than $£ 250,000$ profit, you'll pay the main rate of Corporation Tax.

If your company made a profit of $£ 50,000$ or less, you'll pay the 'small profits rate', which is $19 \%$.

You may be entitled to 'Marginal Relief' if your profits were between £50,000 and £250,000.

Slide 33 The $£ 50,000$ and $£ 250,000$ profit thresholds are proportionately reduced for short accounting periods and by the total number of 'associated companies' your company has.

There are different rates for 'ring fence' profits of companies involved in oil rights or extraction in the UK or UK continental shelf.

You may be able to get deductions or claim tax credits on your Corporation Tax; known as allowances and reliefs.

- The $£ 50,000$ and $£ 250,000$ profit thresholds are proportionately reduced for short accounting periods and by the total number of 'associated companies' your company has.
- There are different rates for 'ring fence' profits of companies involved in oil rights or extraction in the UK or UK continental shelf.


## Slide 34 What Marginal Relief is

From 1 April 2023 the Corporation Tax rate changes to:

- $19 \%$ for taxable profits below $£ 50,000$ (small profit rate)
- $25 \%$ for taxable profits above $£ 250,000$ (main rate)

Marginal Relief provides a gradual increase in Corporation Tax rate between the small profits rate and the main rate - this allows you to reduce your rate from the $25 \%$ main rate.

## Slide 35 Who can claim Marginal Relief

Your company or organisation may be able to claim Marginal Relief if its taxable profits from 1 April 2023 are between:

- $£ 50,000$ (the lower limit)
- £250,000 (the upper limit)

If your accounting period is shorter than 12 months these limits are proportionately reduced. These limits are also proportionately reduced by the number of associated companies your company has.

For example, if your company has 3 other associated companies, the limits are divided by 4 . The lower limit becomes $£ 12,500$ and the upper limit becomes $£ 62,500$.

## Slide 36 Who cannot claim Marginal Relief

You cannot claim Marginal Relief if:

- you're a non-UK resident company
- you're a close investment holding company
- your profits (including distributions from unrelated, unassociated companies) go over £250,000


## Slide 37 Tesco

## https://www.tescoplc.com/investors/reports-results-and-presentations/financial-performance/group-income-statement

Year on year profits :
https://www.tescoplc.com/investors/reports-results-and-presentations/financial-performance/five-year-record/
Annual report 2023
https://www.tescoplc.com/investors/reports-results-and-presentations/annual-report-2023
https://www.unitetheunion.org/what-we-do/unite-investigates/new-analysis-rips-apart-dodgy-claims-of-squeezed-supermarket-profits/unite-investigates-food-profiteering-update-june-2023

Slide 38 Tesco Corporation Tax:
Go to:
https://www.tescoplc.com/media/tayem0jf/our-tax-contribution-2023-final1105-pwc-reviewed.pdf

## Slide 39

Group operating profit/(loss)

2,649 2,206
1,547

Share of post-tax profits/(losses) of joint

32
(8)

26
ventures and associates

| Net finance costs | $(1,064)$ | $(1,170)$ | $(937)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Profit/(loss) before tax | 1,617 | 1,028 | 636 |
| Taxation | $(347)$ | $(290)$ | $(104)$ |

## Slide 40

## 2 Country-by-Country Reporting

Country-by-Country Reporting
Year ended 31 De

|  | Year ended 31 Der |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Turnover US\$m | Average FTEs ${ }^{9}$ |
| Europe |  |  |
| United Kingdom ${ }^{12}$ | 23,997 | 33,675 |
| - of which: reversal of impairment of subsidiaries | 2,298 |  |
| France ${ }^{12}$ | 2,671 | 6,381 |
| - of which: reversal of impairment of subsidiaries | 2,304 |  |
| - of which: loss upon classification of assets as held for sale | (1,953) |  |
| Germany | 908 | 2,328 |
| Switzerland | 570 | 748 |
| Poland | 469 | 3,939 |
| Jersey | 253 | 641 |

## Slide 41 Our tax contribution :

Go to: https://www.tescoplc.com/media/tayem0jf/our-tax-contribution-2023-final1105-pwc-reviewed.pdf

## Our tax contribution.

For the year ended 25 February 2023, the Group's total tax contribution was $£ 5.4$ billion of which taxes borne were £1.7 billion. In the latest 100 Group Total Tax contribution survey we ranked 3rd largest corporate tax payer in the UK.


## Slide 42 Diageo

https://www.diageo.com/en/investors/annual-report
Slide 43 - Group Financial Review - Summary income statement

GROUP FINANCIAL REVIEW
Summary income statement

(1) For the definition of organic movement and hyperinflation see pages 232-233.

Slide 44 - Notes from the financial accounts report

## (e) Taxation

The reported tax rate for the year ended 30 June 2023 was $20.5 \%$ compared with $23.9 \%$ for the year ended 30 June 2022 .

Included in the tax charge of $£ 970$ million in the year ended 30 June 2023 is a net exceptional tax credit of $£ 186$ million, including an exceptional tax credit of $\mathbf{E} 124$ million in respect of brand impairments. mainly the McDowell's brand, a tax credit of $£ 57$ million in respect of the deductibility of fees paid to Diogeo plc for guaranteeing externall issued debt of its US group entities, a tax credit of 223 million in respe of the supply chain agility prognamme, partly offiset by a tox charge a E42 million in respect of the sale of Guinness Cameroun S.A.

The reported tax charge for the year ended 30 June 2022 included a exceptional tha credit of E31 million, comprising exceptional tax credit of $£ 35$ million and E 20 million on the impairment of the McDowell's and Bell's brands respectively, partly offiset by an exceptional tax charge of E 23 million in respect of the gain on the sale of the Picon brand and a further tax charge of $\mathbf{E 3}$ million in respect of winding down operations in Russic.

The tax rate before exceptional items for the year ended 30 June 202 was $23.0 \%$ compared with $22.5 \%$ for the year ended 30 June 2022 .

We expect the tax rate before exceptional iterns for the year ending 3 June 2024 to be in the region of $24 \%$.

## Slide 45: HSBC

https://www.hsbc.com/investors/results-and-
announcements/annual-report

## See page 100 for P \& L

Slide 46

## Consolidated income statement

| Summary consolidated income statement |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 2021 | 2020 |
|  | $\mathbf{S m}$ | $\$ \mathrm{~m}$ |  |

Cilum vemer finnmeinl infermetion

## Slide 47 Unilever:

https://www.unilever.com/files/92ui5egz/production/257f12db9c95ffa2ed 12d6f2e2b3ff67db49fd60.pdf
https://www.unilever.com/files/7667acae-d752-4700-b113-281103876fc6/unilever-tax-paid-by-country-2022-updated-may-2023v4.pdf

Operating profit p54 2022 10.755billion worldwide Income tax paid 2.807 billion worldwide. In UK see https://www.unilever.com/files/7667acae-d752-4700-b113-281103876fc6/unilever-tax-paid-by-country-2022-updated-may-2023-v4.pdf tax paid 54 million

Slide 48

## BP

https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/investors/bp-annual-report-and-form-20f2022.pdf

## Group Income statement on page 182 - See Slide 49

| Group income statement |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |

## Taxation Note on page 214

https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/country-sites/en gb/united-kingdom/home/images/economic-impact-report/pdf/UK-economic-contribution-at-a-glance.pdf
https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/bp-profits-soar-record-28-bIn-dividend-increased-2023-02-07/

## £3.2 billion paid in taxes in UK

https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-
sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/sustainability/group-reports/bp-tax-report-2022.pdf

## Slide 51 Selection from BP Corporate Tax report - UK

UK
Employees
15,468

Tangible assets
\$11,834

## Business activity

bp's history is rooted in the UK, where we have operated for more than a century. In 2022 we announced our intention to invest up to $£ 18$ billion in the UK's energy system by the end of 2030 , demonstrating our firm commitment to the UK, and helping the country to deliver on its bold ambitions to boost energy security and reach net zero. Our activities range from finding different sources of energy to delivering products and services to customers. We are focused on supporting bp's and the UK's net zero aims, by reducing emissions from our existing operations in the North Sea, entering the UK offshore wind market, and advancing new and emerging renewable energy technologies such as CCUS and hydrogen. We also operate one of the largest rapid and ultra-fast public EV charging networks in the UK, bp pulse.
Our head office is in London, and our NorthSea headquarters are in Aberdeen. We also have several offices in south-east England and conduct significant research and development activities at sites across the country. Our central treasury and financing services, and much of the group's external debt, are in the UK. The UK therefore has third-party interest

## Profit/(loss) before tax

\$(19,495m)
Corporate tax charge/(credit)
\$2,422m

## costs and receives interest income from its group

 financing activities. We often hold overseas operations directly through overseas branches $\star$ of UK companies and these activities are subject to corporate income taxes in the UK and the overseas country. Corporate income taxes paid in the overseas country are usually available as a credit against any UK corporate income taxes arising on these same activities. The UK is also the holding location for our investments in new ventures.
## Tax overview

UK corporate income tax rules apply a ring fence * to our North Sea extractive activities. The corporate tax rate for the inside ring fence(IRF) regime is $40 \%$. It increased to $65 \%$ from May 2022 and to $75 \%$ from January 2023 with the introduction of the new Energy Profits Levy. This compares to a tax rate of 19\% for non-North Sea activities in 2022, which was also increased to $25 \%$ in April 2023. For 2022 we paid $\$ 2.2$ billion in corporate income taxes on our North Sea activities, of which $\$ 700$ million was Energy Profits Levy. Of the total $\$ 22$ billion, $\$ 1.3$ bilion was paid in 2022 and is reflected in this report The remaining amount was paid in 2023 and will appear in our 2023 report. With respect to production taxes, we received a net refund of petroleum revenue tax paid in
prior years resulting from the carry back of tax losses in accordance with the law.

Our non-extractive UK activities are subject to corporate income taxes on a consolidated basis, meaning they are taxed together as a single activity, with taxable profits and losses from our activities being offset. Typically, taxable profits from fuels and lubricants retail, trading activities, and our Guemsey regulated captive insurance company are offset by taxable losses from our head office, including payments into employee share plans, a net interest expense from our group financing activities and pension plan contributions. This meant we paid no taxable profit-based corporate income taxes on our non-extractive activities in 2022 . However, our corporate income taxes paid also includes withholding taxes suffered by bp companies, predominantly in relation to the receipt of interest income from bp subsidiaries in overseas countries on inter-company lending from the UK
We paid employer national insurance contributions for our employees and business rates for our UK offices and industrial sites. Although we undertook crossborder product transactions during 2022, these were principally between European Union (EU) member states or qualified for specific customs exemptions, meaning we paid only de minimis customs duties.

Slide 52 Shell Note approximately worldwide $\$ 42$ billion dollars profit.
Slide 5317 million dollars paid to UK

## Report on Payments to Governments [1]

| Countries: | Production <br> Entitlements | Toxes | Royalties | Bonuses | Fees | Infrastructu Improvemen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albania | - | - | - | 500,050 | 219,719 |  |
| Germany | - | 250,573,184 | - | - | - |  |
| Italy | - | 68,402,789 | 77,832,511 | - | 617,978 |  |
| Norway | 5,596,820,544 | 2,121,784,227 | - | - | 1,109,256 |  |
| United Kingdom | - | 8,016,307 | - | - | 9,949,183 |  |
| Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brunei Darussalam | 12,472,004 | 46,585,919 | 27,113,052 | - | - |  |
| India | - | -19,132,933 | - | - | - |  |
| Kazakhstan | - | 275,329,802 | - | - | - |  |
| Malaysia | 3,278,262,854 | 388,579,749 | 789,772,621 | - | 15,000,000 |  |
| Philippines | 461,162,693 | 73,713,802 | - | - | - |  |
| Middle East |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oman | - | 4,559,403,750 | - | - | 400,000 |  |
| Qatar | 1,736,561,803 | 1,903,929,972 | - | - | 36,570,315 |  |
| Oceania |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australia | - | 87,856,562 | 755,474,433 | - | 14,132,417 | 2,506,3 |
| Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt | - | 255,440,031 | - | 1,736,140 | - |  |
| Namibia | - | - | - | - | 108,363 |  |
| Nigeria | 3,035,996,709 | 711,850,070 | 691,648,502 | - | 81,639,634 |  |
| Tunisia | - | 59,662,546 | 16,569,086 | - | - |  |
| North America |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canoda | - | 19,625 | 46,789,644 | - | 2,000,370 |  |
| Mexico | - | - | - | - | 41,913,830 |  |
| United States | - | 66,520,000 | 1,431,776,102 | - | 29,941,840 |  |
| South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | - | - | 77,657,827 | - | 414,373 |  |
| Bolivia | - | - | - | - | 243,650 |  |
| Brazil | 87,824,216 | 466,854,704 | 1,197,817,132 | 216,531,654 | 2,375,611,034 |  |
| Colombia | - | - | - | - | 558,570 |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 849,957,106 | 17,260,193 | 8,612,776 | 2,000,000 | 13,164,093 |  |
| Total | 15,059,057,930 | 11,342,650,301 | 5,121,063,684 | 220,767,844 | 2,623,594,624 | 2,506,3 |

[1] This report is not corrected for rounding.

## Slide 54

## Tax Policy Associates

## General:

## https://taxpolicy.org.uk/

A think tank dedicated to improving tax policy and the public understanding of tax

## https://taxpolicy.org.uk/about/

- Dan Neidle founded Tax Policy Associates as a not-for-profit company, with the aim of improving tax and legal policy, and the public understanding of tax.
- Tax Policy Associates has three key activities:
- Providing policymakers and politicians of all parties with expert and non-partisan tax policy advice, in the UK and worldwide.
- Analysing and investigating areas of tax and tax policy that have been under-reported.
- Partnering with journalists, academics and others researching and investigating tax and tax policy.
https://taxpolicy.org.uk/2023/12/06/2023_oecd/
https://taxpolicy.org.uk/2023/09/19/oecd2021/


## Slide 55

Shell / BP
Tax Policy Associates Article
General:

## https://taxpolicy.org.uk/

A think tank dedicated to improving tax policy and the public understanding of tax
https://taxpolicy.org.uk/about/
Dan Neidle founded Tax Policy Associates as a not-for-profit company, with the aim of improving tax and legal policy, and the public understanding of tax.

Tax Policy Associates has three key activities:
Providing policymakers and politicians of all parties with expert and nonpartisan tax policy advice, in the UK and worldwide.

# Analysing and investigating areas of tax and tax policy that have been under-reported. 

## Partnering with journalists, academics and others researching and investigating tax and tax policy.

Slide 56 Glaxo Smith Kline
2022 Annual Report :
https://www.gsk.com/en-gb/investors/financial-reports/annual-report2022/

Slide 57 Consolidated Income Statement

## Consolidated income statement

for the year ended 31 December 2022

|  | Notes | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ \varepsilon_{m} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turnover | 6 | 29,324 |
| Cost of sales |  | (9,554) |
| Gross profit |  | 19,770 |
| Selling. general and administration |  | $(8,372)$ |
| Research and development |  | $(5,488)$ |
| Royalty income |  | 758 |
| Other operating (expense)//income | 7 | (235) |
| Operating profit | 8 | 6,433 |
| Finance income | 11 | 76 |
| Finance expense | 12 | (879) |
| Loss on disposal of interest in associates | 13 | - |
| Share of after tax (loss)/profits of associates and joint ventures |  | (2) |
| Profit before taxation |  | $5,628$ |
| Toxation | 14 | (707) |
| Profit after taxation from continuing operations |  | 4.921 |
| Profit after taxation from discontinued operations and other gains/(losses) from the demerger |  | 3,049 |
| Re-measurement of discontinued operations distributed to shareholders on demerger |  | 7,651 |
| Profit after taxation from discontinued operations |  | 10,700 |
| Total profit after taxation for the year |  | 15,621 |
| Profit attributable to non-controlling interests from continuing operations |  | 460 |
| Profit attributable to shareholders from continuing operations |  | 4,461 |
| Profit attributable to non-controlling interests from discontinued operations |  | 205 |
| Profit attributable to shareholders from discontinued operations |  | 10,495 |
|  |  | 15,621 |
| Total profit attributable to non-controlling interests |  | 665 |
| Total profit attributable to shareholders |  | 14,956 |
|  |  | 15,621 |
| Basic earnings per share (pence) from continuing operations | 15 | 110.8p |
| Basic earnings per share (pence) from discontinued operations |  | 260.6p |
| Total Basic earnings per share (pence) |  | 371.4p |
| Diluted earnings per share (pence) from continued operations | 15 | 109.2p |
| Diluted earnings per share (pence) from discontinued operations |  | 257.0p |
| Total diluted earnings per share (pence) |  | $366.2 p$ |

## Slide 58Explanation of tax charge in Glaxo Annual Report

## Note the effective tax rate of $12.6 \%$

## Appendix: Explanation of the tax charge in our annual report continued

Reconciliation of adjusted and total tax charge GSK's total reported results represent the Group's overall performance for the year. The disclosures in our Annual Report reconcile the total tax charge for the year of $£ 707 \mathrm{~m}$ to the tax charge computed by applying the UK statutory rate of taxation (19\% for 2022) to the Group's total profit before tax, setting out the main items which drive the difference.

Adjusted results are a non-IFRS measure that exclude the impact of one-off transactions, such as acquisitions and disposals, as well as items such as the amortisation or impairment of intangible assets and major restructuring costs. Further information on this is provided in our Annual Report.

Below we have provided additional reconciliations in respect of the tax charges on adjusted profit and adjusting items, to help explain our effective tax rate.

| For the year ended 31 Dec, 2022 | Total | Adjusting items | Adjusted profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E'm | f'm | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ |
| Profit before tax | 5,628 | $(1,730)$ | 7,358 |
| UK statutory rate of taxation (19\%) | 1,069 | (329) | 1,398 |
| Differences in overseas tax rates | 318 | (48) | 373 |
| Benefit of intellectual property incentives | (600) | 39 | (646) |
| R\&D credits | (119) | (19) | (100) |
| Permanent differences on disposals, acquisitions and transfers | 275 | (16) | 291 |
| Other permanent differences | 82 | 42 | 40 |
| Re-assessments of prior year current tax estimates | (60) | 9 | (69) |
| Re-assessments of prior year deferred tax estimates | (233) | (67) | (166) |
| Changes in tax rates | (25) | (41) | 16 |
| Tax charge / (credit) | 707 | (430) | 1,137 |
| Effective tax rate | 12.6\% | 24.9\% | 15.5\% |

GSK's effective tax rate (the tax charge for the year expressed as a percentage of the profit before tax) differs from the UK statutory tax rate ( $19 \%$ for 2022 ) principally as a result of:

- the jurisdictional split of profits and the applicable jurisdictional tax rates. This is influenced by product sales and the ownership of intellectual property, the profits on which may be eligible for innovation incentives such as the UK and Belgian patent box regimes;
- Innovation incentives providing tax relief related to GSK R\&D expenditure, designed to stimulate employment and investment in R\&D;
- permanent differences which arise where there are items recognised for accounting purposes but not for tax purposes and vice versa - an example of this is taxes on intra-group dividends;
- a reassessment of estimates of uncertain tax positions following settlement of a number of open issues with tax authorities; and
- the impact of deferred tax items which are taxable or deductible in future periods becoming subject to different tax rates.


## https://www.aviva.com/investors/reports/

https://www.reuters.com/world/uk/aviva-unveils-300-million-pound-buyback-after-operating-profit-rise-2023-03-09/

## Slide 60

## REUTERS ${ }^{\circledR}$

## Summary Companies

- 2022 operating profit from continuing operations up 35\%
- Pledges 300 mln pound share buyback
- Activist investor Cevian praises company restructuring

LONDON, March 9 (Reuters) - Aviva (AV.L). © ${ }^{\text {Cl }}$ hiked its payouts to investors on Thurs pledged 300 million pound ( $\$ 355$ million) share buyback, after navigating a volatile amid pressure from activist investor Cevian to boost returns.

The British insurer and asset manager said it had paid more than 5 billion pounds t including a final dividend of 20.7 pence per share for 2022.

## Slide 61 Lloyds Banking Group plc

https://www.lloydsbankinggroup.com/assets/pdfs/investors/financial-performance/lloyds-banking-group-plc/2023/q4/2023-lbg-annualreport.pdf

Slide 62

| Consolidated income statement <br> for the year ended 31 December |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Note | $\underset{\mathbf{E m}}{2023}$ | $\frac{2022^{12}}{}$ | $\overline{\substack{2022^{2} \\ £ m}}$ |
| Interest income |  | 28,051 | 17.645 | 13,258 |
| Interest expense |  | $(14,753)$ | (4,723) | $(2,386)$ |
| Net interest income | 5 | 13,298 | 12.922 | 10,872 |
| Fee and commission income |  | 2,926 | 2790 | 2,608 |
| Fee and commission expense |  | $(1,095)$ | (1.070) | (1185) |
| Net fee and commission income | 6 | 1,831 | 1,720 | 1.423 |
| Net trading income (losses) | 7 | 18,049 | (19,987) | 17,200 |
| Insurance premium income | 8 |  |  | 8.283 |
| Insurance revenue | 9 | 3,008 | 2.461 |  |
| Insurance service expense | 10 | $(2,414)$ | (3,863) |  |
| Net income from reinsurance contracts held |  | 2 | 62 |  |
| Insurance service result |  | 596 | (1.340) |  |
| Other operating income | 11 | 1,631 | 1,339 | 1,77 |
| Other income |  | 22,107 | (18.268) | 28.078 |
| Total income |  | 35,405 | (5,346) | 38.950 |
| Insurance claims and changes in insurance and investment contract liabilities | 12 |  |  | (2,120) |
| Net finance (expense) income from insurance, participating investment and reinsurance contracts | 13 | $(11,684)$ | 15,893 |  |
| Movement in third party interests in consolidated funds |  | $(1,09)$ | 1.035 | (1506) |
| Change in non-participating investment contracts |  | $(3,983)$ | 3.959 |  |
| Total income, after net finance (expense) income in respect of insurance and investment contracts |  | 18,629 | 15,541 | 16,324 |
| Operating expenses | 14 | $(10,823)$ | $(8,237)$ | (10.800) |
| Impairment (charge) credit | 18 | (303) | (1.522) | 1378 |
| Profit before tax |  | 7,503 | 4.782 | 6.902 |
| Tax expense | 19 | $(1,985)$ | (859) | (1.017) |
| Profit for the year |  | 5,518 | 3,923 | 5.885 |
| Profit attributable to ordinary shareholders |  | 4,933 | 3,389 | 5,355 |
| Profit attributable to other equity holders |  | 527 | 438 | 429 |
| Profit attributable to equity holders |  | 5,460 | 3.827 | 5,784 |
| Profit attributable to non-controlling interests |  | 58 | 96 | 101 |
| Profit for the year |  | 5,518 | 3,923 | 5,885 |
| Basic earnings per share | 41 | 7.6p | 4.9p | 7.5p |
| Diluted earnings per share | 41 | 7.5p | 4.9p | 7.5p |
| 12 Restated for the adoption of IFRS $7 ;$ see notes 1 and 54 .2 |  |  |  |  |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statem |  |  |  |  |

## Slide 63 Lloyds Banking Group plc

## P 211 if Annual Report 2023

## Profit before tax $£ 7,503,000,000$

Tax paid $£ 1,985,000,000$

## Slide 64

(1)Is the UK tax burden the highest it's ever been?
(2)Can the UK afford tax increases?
(3)Does the public sector provide value for money?,

So we take that as read that generally you don't get something for nothing - so lets consider the 2 main ways of getting a service that practically everyone needs - let's look at the third question in this podcast - does the public sector provide value for money - lets say in the context of healthcare. So you don't generally get something for nothing so how are services financed - just like in healthcare - well it's a case of (1) paying for private treatment or (2) public treatment. That's not to say that ultimately public sector treatment doesn't have to be paid for. It does through taxes and national insurance. But the argument that is often being played out in the UK Parliament is whether the option to provide services by the private sector is better than the public sector well I've already touched on this right at the beginning of this podcast - you know private sector is better because it's incentivised to make money and so a private enterprise will be driven to make itself the most efficient that it can be in order to improve profits. Also with many private enterprises there is one petition and that drives the prices down generally. Then there is the counterargument that private is not as good as it might first be thought because in order to raise capital you need to take on board shareholders and keep shareholders on board you need to pay the dividends and that's essentially a slice of the profits that must be accounted for and although competition in theory does push down prices there are many business structures, oligopolies monopolies and so on that generally speaking mean that competition is not as effective as it could be in driving down prices.

So with that said the third part of this forecast simply going to focus on whether or not the public sector provide value for money. Now that is a very difficult question to answer in full and so l'm just going to focus on a few general points rather than a full analysis which would have course require, amongst other things, specific statistics on lifelong total contributions of tax and national insurance within certain time spans and comparing the number of treatments (the output of the public health sector) with that of the private sector taking into account the cost of treatment within the private sector, number of treatments etc.

So instead of that here are some general points which I hope raise some questions and give some answers in regard to the National Health Service.

So starting off with the slide 65

## Slide 65

https://www.england.nhs.uk/2024/01/waiting-list-falls-again-as-nhs-staff-treat-more-patients-than-ever-before-in-one-month/

Monthly performance data shows that the overall waiting list fell by more than 95,000 - down to 7.6 million in November from 7.7 million in October.

So clearly despite much effort by staff, as detailed in this NHS News report, there is clearly still a problem. 7.6 million patients awaiting treatment to be precise.

Now, for the sake of this podcast let's assume that the majority of people in the UK want the NHS to be free of charge at point of service. There is evidence for this statement. Consider the next slide

## Slide 66

See survey from 2023 - NHS confederation article at:
https://www.nhsconfed.org/publications/understanding-public-perceptions-and-attitudesnhs\#:~:text=However\%2C\ the\ same\ survey,should\ be\%2 Oavailable\%20to\%20everyone

This found that:
".....challenges are having an impact on public satisfaction, with the latest British Social Attitudes Survey (BSA) showing that overall satisfaction fell to just one in three (29 per cent) - the lowest figure on record. However, the same survey found that support for the founding principles of the NHS remains strong, with 94 per cent believing the NHS should remain free of charge, 86 per cent saying it should be primarily funded through taxation and 83 per cent agreeing the service should be available to everyone."

Slide 67

Now let's consider the cost of certain types of treatment in the private sector.
https://www.nuffieldhealth.com/hospitals/bristol/pricing

Slide 68 So various prices at February 2024

## Treatment prices at Bristol Hospital

- Back Pain - Nerve/Neuroltic Root Block $£ 3,590$
- Breast Enlargement - Round $£ 7$,270
- Breast Lift $£ 7,145$
- Breast Reduction £9,085
- Carpal Tunnel Release - One Wrist $£ 2,730$
- Cataract Surgery - One Eye - Standard Lens $£ 3,660$
- Epidural Injection $£ 1,880$
- Gallbladder Surgery - Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy $£ 7,425$
- Grommets Insertion - Treatment of Glue Ear $£ 2,930$
- Hernia Repair - Groin Inguinal - Open Surgery $£ 3,835$
- Hip Replacement $£ 15,070$
- Hysterectomy - Abdominal $£ 8,920$
- Knee Arthroscopy - Key Hole Surgery $£ 4,580$
- Knee Arthroscopy - Key Hole Surgery to Remove Damaged Cartilage $£ 4$
- Knee Replacement $£ 16,040$
- Nose Re-shaping_ - Rhinoplasty $£ 7,955$
- Prostate Resection - TURP $£ 8,250$
- Repair of Prolapsed Vagina $£ 8,705$
- Shoulder Decompression - Key Hole Surgery $\mathfrak{\text { f6,035 }}$
- Slipped Disc Removal - Lower Back $£ 9,425$
- Tummy Tuck - Abdominoplasty with Liposuction $£ 9,710$
- Vasectomy $£ 2,100$
- Vasectomy Reversal $£ 4,810$
- Vitrectomy £6,540
- Vulva Lesion Excision $£ 4,000$
- Wisdom Teeth Extraction $£ 2,740$


## Slide 69

## What does the Government spend money on?

https://ifs.org.uk/taxlab/taxlab-key-questions/what-does-government-spend-money

Slide 70

| Spending by function, as a \% of total managed expenditure and in $£$ billion (2022-23 p |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1978-79 | 1996-97 | 2007-08 |
| Health | \% of TME | 9.4 | 13.0 | 16.0 |
|  | $£$ billion | 44.4 | 74.7 | 141.6 |
| Education | \% of TME | 11.9 | 11.5 | 12.5 |
|  | $£$ billion | 55.9 | 66.0 | 110.2 |
| Defence | \% of TME | 9.6 | 6.7 | 5.3 |
|  | $£$ billion | 45.4 | 38.6 | 47.2 |
| Social security (pensioners) | \% of TME | 12.0 | 14.7 | 14.9 |
|  | $£$ billion | 56.7 | 84.5 | 131.8 |
| Social security (working-age and children) | \% of TME | 8.5 | 14.2 | 13.1 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ ¢:ma | ィの $n$ | nı $\sim$ | 145 |

Well you can see spending on health for 2022 - 2023 prices is $£ 211.6$ billion. So let's divide that some of $£ 211.6$ billion divided by the population of the UK. So what is the population of the UK.

Slide 71

Well the population in mid 2021 was, according to the ONS was estimated in mid-2021 to be 67.0 million $(67,026,292)$.
https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmi gration/populationestimates/bulletins/annualmidyearpopulationestimates/ mid2021

Now according to the Worldometer at the

## https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/uk-population/

67,882,966 as at 23 Feb 2024
Slide 72
If you divide $£ 211.06$ billion by the population of $67,882,966$ then that gives an average amount of tax revenue committed on behalf of each individual in the UK as $£ 3117$.

Slide 73
Now if we further add into the mix data from the Kings Fund at:
https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/insight-and-analysis/data-and-charts/NHS-activity-nutshell
you will see that :
In total there were an estimated 570 million patient contacts with GP, community, mental health, hospital, NHS 111 and ambulance services in 2021/22. This is equivalent to every person in England being assessed, treated and cared for by the NHS 10 times a year, or 1.6 million interactions with patients every day. Let's say as a rough and ready that

See Slide 74 for a nice diagramatic illustration of those numbers:
So what's the point that I am making. Well, just that a contribution of £3,117 per person might not be such bad value for money when you consider the costs for various medical procedures, undertaken privately.

## Slide 75

- carpal tunnel release of one rest at $£ 2655$, hernia repair at $£ 3700$, knee arthroscopy keyhole surgery at $£ 4435$, knee replacement at $£ 15,375$, prostate resection $£ 7925$ you get a sense of what it might cost - now of course..


## https://www.nuffieldhealth.com/hospitals/bristol/pricing

that's not to say that each and every one of the approximately 68 million people in the UK are going to need treatment each year but when you consider 211 billion health service costs / 68 million people and estimated 570 million patient contacts per year the public sector NHS services might not necessarily be as inefficient or inadequate as some politicians might want to paint it.
Slide 76
(1)Is the UK tax burden the highest it's ever been?
(2)Can the UK afford tax increases?
(3)Does the public sector give value for money?,

