In recent times you will have heard various politicians arguing that in order to improve the U.K.'s economy steps must be taken to increase the gross domestic product (GDP); GDP essentially being the value of goods and services provided within an economy during a one-year period. In the financial year 2022/2023 Gross Domestic Product was 2,506 billion; according to the House of Commons library key economic data report document
at:https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/sn02783/
The other argument that is often raised by various UK politicians is that GDP can be increased by cutting taxes.

This article examines the evidence as to whether or not tax cuts do lead to increases in GDP. It also considers whether the exact opposite, i.e. tax rises, is an option that should be looked at.

The first point to consider is that GDP does not necessarily give an indicator of the prosperity and well-being of a country. For example, it might be that two countries have similar GDPs, but country $A$ might have achieved the same level of GDP of country B whilst, say, its workers having to work twice as many hours. The extra hours is, by way of example, not something that a GDP figure can tell us anythingabout. Having said that though, this article considers not whether GDP is an accurate measure of prosperity but rather on whether cutting taxes does lead to an increase in GDP.

So, are tax cuts a means of encouraging growth in GDP? Before delving deeper into that question though there follows some GDP figures that give an insight into the types of levels of GDP achieved in the UK over past few years; specifically for 2019 to 2022 . These details can be located at the Office for National Statistics website at https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/grossdomesticproductgdp/timeseries/abmi/pn2

| 343 | 2019 Q4 | 560861 | Total GDP 2019 | 2233921 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 344 | 2020 Q1 | 545597 |  |  |
| 345 | 2020 Q2 | 434718 |  | 2002489 |
| 346 | 2020 Q3 | 507643 |  |  |
| 347 | 2020 Q4 | 514531 | Total GDP 2020 |  |
| 348 | 2021 Q1 | 509261 |  | 2176203 |
| 349 | 2021 Q2 | 546579 |  |  |
| 350 | 2021 Q3 | 555956 |  |  |
| 351 | 2021 Q4 | 564407 | Total GDP 2021 |  |
| 352 | 2022 Q1 | 567372 |  | 2270793 |
| 353 | 2022 Q2 | 567878 |  |  |
| 354 | 2022 Q3 | 567392 |  | 2276559 |
| 355 | 2022 Q4 | 568151 | Total GDP 2022 |  |
| 356 | 2023 Q1 | 569973 |  |  |
| 357 | 2023 Q2 | 571043 | Total GDP for Apr 22 - March 23 |  |



- Gross Domestic Product: chained volume measures: Seasonally adjusted $£ \mathrm{~m}$

The ONS figures above are in millions of pounds i.e. for say 2022 GDP was 2,270,793 million; (or alternatively described as 2,270 billion pounds or 2.27 trillion pounds.

So turning to the evidence concerning the impact of tax cuts on GDP.

In order to assess the impact of tax cuts on GDP this article, in line with various academic papers, focuses on one or two of the main tax raising categories such as income tax and corporation tax - in line with many academic papers on this subject.

In that regard the snippet of a table below (located at an overview table detailing tax revenue for the UK for a series of years starting from 2005 / 2006 at https://ifs.org.uk/taxlab/taxlab-data-item/ifs-revenue-composition-spreadsheet).

As can be seen the final outturn figures are yet to be finalised for 2022/23 and rather are described as forecasts. At the time of writing this article (Nov 23), the financial tax year is 2023/2024.

| Current receipts by taz and gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jutturn $015-16$ | Outturn 2016-17 | Outturn 2017-18 | Outturn 2018-19 | Outturn $2019-20$ | Outturn $2020-21$ | Forecast $2021-22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & 2022-23 \end{aligned}$ | Forecast $2023-24$ | Forecast $2024-25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & 2025-26 \end{aligned}$ |
| Income tax (gross of tax credits) | 168.5 | 177.1 | 180.0 | 191.0 | 193.2 | 193.7 | 220.6 | 248.2 | 267.3 | 281.3 | 292.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poy asyaueam | 145.7 | 149.8 | 154.3 | 161.9 | 164.8 | 166.7 | 188.3 | 211.6 | 227.9 | 235.8 | 243.7 |
| Self assessment | 24.3 | 29.3 | 28.3 | 31.5 | 21.2 | 31.2 | 37.0 | 42.0 | 44.5 | 50.9 | 54.5 |
| National Insurance contributions (NICs)* | 113.7 | 124.5 | 130.9 | 136.6 | 142.9 | 143.5 | 158.0 | 176.8 | 172.8 | 174.8 | 180.2 |
| Value added tax (VAT) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 115.4 | 119.8 | 125.4 | 132.2 | 129.9 | 101.7 | 157.5 | 160.1 | 161.5 | 167.2 | 171.6 |
| Corporation tax* | 43.0 | 47.9 | 52.7 | 54.3 | 61.7 | 51.0 | 64.7 | 76.2 | 74.5 | 86.4 | 93.0 |
| cosksiss. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crasore | 42.4 | 47.6 | 50.9 | 52.4 | 60.4 | 50.5 | 62.7 | 69.6 | 69.4 | 81.3 | 89.0 |
| asksore | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 3.9 |
| Windfall tax on privatised utilities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Petroleum revenue tas | -0.6 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.7 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 |
| Supplementary petroleum duty | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Petroleum royalties | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Gas levy (net of corporation tax clawback) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Fuel duties | 27.6 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 27.6 | 20.9 | 25.9 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 26.6 | 26.3 |
| Capital gains tax | 7.1 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 15.3 | 18.1 | 17.8 | 19.5 | 21.2 |
| Inheritance tax \& Capital transfer tax \& Estate duty ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| Stamp duties | 14.6 | 16.1 | 17.1 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 13.2 | 18.6 | 19.9 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 18.3 |
| cotssioss. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frgrerty trasartion tanes - | 11.3 | 12.4 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 9.5 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 13.8 |
| Stampr taves coskaves | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Tobacco duties | 9.5 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
| Spirits duties | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.1 |
| Wine duties | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| Beer and cider duties | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Cartas | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Air passenger duty | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| Insurance premium tax | 3.3 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| Climate change levy' | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Landfill tax* | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Aggregates leuy | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Betting and gaming duties | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| Customs duties and levies | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| Temporary bank payroll tas | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bank levy | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Bank surcharge | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Digital services tax | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Diverted profits tas ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Apprenticeship levy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| Soft drinks industry levy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Plastic packaging tas | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Residential property developer tax | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Energy profits levy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 4.2 |
| Electricity generator levy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| Swiss capital tax | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total HMRC | 532.7 | 567.6 | 592.1 | 620.4 | 633.7 | 584.7 | 716.2 | 787.6 | 803.9 | 841.7 | 872.3 |
| Vehicle ercise duties | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| Business rates | 29.1 | 29.9 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 31.5 | 20.1 | 25.7 | 28.8 | 30.2 | 35.4 | 35.6 |
| Council tan ${ }^{\text {C Community }}$ charge $\$ Domestic rates ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 28.7 | 30.1 | 31.5 | 33.5 | 35.4 | 36.4 | 39.0 | 41.3 | 43.6 | 45.9 | 48.3 |
| Interest and dividends (excl. asset purchase facility)' | 6.1 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 24.0 | 26.5 | 23.5 | 24.1 | 32.5 | 40.5 | 38.6 | 35.2 |
| Gross operating surplus' | 45.4 | 47.7 | 46.4 | 52.9 | 57.0 | 58.6 | 62.2 | 63.4 | 64.4 | 67.6 | 70.0 |
| Other receipts and taxes | 66.4 | 70.1 | 66.7 | 45.1 | 35.4 | 63.2 | 43.4 | 58.7 | 67.0 | 66.6 | 67.3 |
| Current receipts | 714.1 | 757.6 | 780.7 | 813.4 | 826.4 | 793.4 | 917.7 | 1019.7 | 1057.6 | 1103.7 | 1136.9 |

In 2022/2023 the forecast was for total UK HMRC receipts of $£ 787.6$ billion. This figure has various other HMRC revenues added to it in calculating total revenues, including vehicle excise duties, business rates, council tax, interest and dividends on government assets etc. giving a total predicted receipts forecast at $£ 1019.7$ billion for $2022 / 2023$.

As mentioned above, at the date of this article (Nov 2023), the UK is currently in the financial year $2023 / 2024$ and the forecast for this period has been set at $£ 803.9$ billion plus an additional amount to cater for excise duties, business rates etc. taking it to a total revenue of $£ 1057.6$ billion. Tax revenue of $£ 803.9$ billion is made up of various taxes from numerous sources including income tax, national insurance contributions, value-added tax, corporation tax, petroleum revenue tax, gas levy,
fuel duties, capital gains tax, inheritance tax, stamp duties (including stamp duty on share transfers and stamp duty land tax on property transactions) along with many other types of tax receipts.

The largest contributor to the HMRC tax revenue sum comes from income tax. Income tax is paid by individuals and so is different to, for example, corporation tax, which is a tax paid by companies.

## Summary of Recent GDP and Tax Revenue and Total Revenue

So in summary the UK's tax revenue for $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 / 2 3}$ is set at approximately $\mathbf{£ 7 8 6}$ billion and, taking into account other revenue such as income on assets gives a total revenue of $£ 1019$ billion. Meanwhile Gross Domestic Product for the same period (ie April 22 - March 23 which equates to Quarters 3 and 4 for 2022 and Quarters 1 and 2 for 2023) is approximately $£ 2,276$ billion.

So, with this overview of Government Revenue, including tax revenue, and GDP in mind we now consider the question of whether or not tax cuts do indeed lead to increases in GDP. Where is the evidence for this?

## Corporation Tax

Focusing firstly on corporate (corporation) tax Sebastian Gechert and Philipp Heimberger in their paper "Do corporate tax cuts boost economic growth?" see European Economic Review Vol 147 August 2022, 104157 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0014292122000885 comment as follows:
" (1) The literature on corporate taxes and growth has been biased towards over-reporting results according to which corporate tax cuts boost growth rates. We have shown that it is about 2.7 to 3 times more likely to publish a result showing a statistically significant positive impact of corporate tax cuts on growth compared to a significant negative result.
(2) After correcting for this bias and taking heterogeneity across studies into account, we cannot reject the hypothesis that corporate tax changes have, on average, no economically relevant or statistically significant effect on economic growth. This is confirmed after accounting for potential endogeneity issues between corporate taxes and growth. While this result invites caution concerning claims of substantial across-the-board growth effects as found in some prominent studies (e.g. OECD, 2010), there may be cases with positive or negative growth effects given the variance in the results. Our finding that the average effect of corporate tax cuts on growth is zero with some variance for individual cases is broadly consistent with the nuanced recent theoretical growth literature, which stresses that there are various (partly competing) channels - such as knock-on effects on R\&D incentives or labour supply - through which corporate tax changes can affect growth both positively and negatively (Suzuki, 2022, Ferraro et al., 2020, Aghion et al., 2013, Aghion et al., 2016)."

## Individual (Income) Tax

In regard to cutting individual taxes (such as, for example, income tax) see Effects of Income Tax Changes on Economic Growth William G. Gale Brookings Institution and Tax Policy Center Andrew A. Samwick Dartmouth College and NBER February, 2016 which considers individual tax cuts in the context of the US economy. In the abstract it states that: "The net impact on growth is uncertain, but many estimates suggest it is either small or negative."

A diagram taken from that paper (as shown directly below) shows that following tax rises in the USA in 1993 growth in GDP outstripped those that followed tax cuts in 2001.

Figure 4. Employment and GDP Growth Following the 1993 Tax Increases and the 2001 Tax
Cuts


August 1993 to March 2001
June 2001 to December 2007

Source: Huang (2012)
Note: The vertical axis is the average annual growth rate during the time period

David Hope and Julian Limberg's 7 Jan 22 article (Socio-Economic Review, 2022, Vol. 20, No. 2, 539559) (at url: https://academic.oup.com/ser/article/20/2/539/6500315) published by Oxford University Press and the Society for the Advancement of Socio-Economics says: "In sum, this study finds that major tax cuts for the rich push up income inequality, but do not boost economic performance. It therefore provides strong evidence against the influential political-economic idea that tax cuts for the rich 'trickle down' to benefit the wider economy. The study also points to a number potentially fruitful avenues for future research. It remains puzzling why 'trickle down' ideas have been so powerful and persistent in tax policy-making in the advanced democracies despite the lack of macroeconomic benefits from cutting taxes on the rich. Further research is also needed to more rigorously test the specific mechanisms driving our results. Lastly, future studies could investigate the extent to which the results generalize to developing and emerging economies, as well as nondemocratic regimes."

In summary there appears to be a number of research articles containing some practical evidence (such as the USA experience to indicate that the idea that tax cuts increase GDP is not necessarily correct.

The next question is a more subjective nuance one and that is whether persons (for the purposes of this article income tax will be the focus of this article) in the UK are able to sustain income tax rises in to facilitate increased tax revenue to assist with the provision of public services for Health, Education, Defence etc.

Of course it is difficult to assess whether person $X$ with income $Y$ can actually afford paying increased rates of income tax; that is without having full access to that person $X$ 's outgoings. It may be that
person $X$ lives in a large home serviced by a large mortgage and so needs to spend much of their net income on keeping a roof over their head, it might be that person $X$ needs all of their net income to pay for utilities and food and so on. In other words each individual will have their own specific set of financial circumstances and so, without specific details of each person's income, expenses, assets and liabilities it is impossible to determine if a specific person $X$ can "afford" an increase in income tax. All that can be done is to consider average incomes, the income tax (and national insurance contributions) and to consider, say, net income that is available to different persons X in different tax brackets and how much less disposable income they might have if, say, income tax rates were to be raised by, say, $1 \%$ (or one penny in the pound)

This assessment will largely focus on income tax, rather than, say corporation tax, as income tax is the largest contributor to overall tax revenue in the UK. Before looking more closely at the impact of say a $1 \%$ increase in income tax revenue for those in different income brackets ( $£ 12,570$ to $£ 15,000$, income in the band $£ 15,000-£ 20,000, £ 20,000-£ 30,000,30,000$ and $£ 50,000, £ 50,000$ to $£ 100,000$, $£ 100,000$ to $£ 200,000, £ 200,000$ to $£ 500,000, £ 500,000$ to $£ 1$ million and $£ 1$ million to $£ 2$ million and for $£ 2$ million plus.) it might be useful to have an overview of the UK's income tax rates as follows:

Currently in 2023/24 income tax rates in the UK are as follows.
(1) Tax on earnings up to $£ 12,570$ : $0 \%$
(2) Tax on base rate portion of income earnings from $£ 12,571-£ 50,270$ is $20 \%$
(3) Tax on earnings between $£ 50,271$ and $£ 125,140$ is taxed at $40 \%$
(4) Additional rate for income over 125,140 at $45 \%$.

Note: For income over $£ 100,000$ the personal allowance of $£ 12,570$ is reduced by $£ 1$ for every $£ 2$ of income. So for someone with an income over $£ 125,140$ the personal allowance is reduced to $£ 0$.
(Details of these figures can be found on the government website at the following address:
https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/rates-and-allowances-income-tax/income-tax-rates-and-allowances-current-and-past\#tax-rates-and-bands )
so, with the basics of how HMRC goes about calculating income tax payments in mind this article now considers what persons in various income brackets actually pay in tax (and National Insurance Contributions) followed by a review of the impact of, say, a $1 \%$ increase in income tax rates across the board in the UK i.e. $20 \%$ raised to $21 \%, 40 \%$ to $41 \%$ and $45 \%$ to $46 \%$. To start please note the details in the table below which shows, amongst other things, total income tax liabilities for different ranges of total income; these figures can be found at the following website: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/income-tax-liabilities-by-income-range

The following is a section of table 2.5 Income Tax liabilities by Income Range for 2023 to 2024:

| Range of total <br> income (lower <br> limit) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $£ 12,570$ | Total number of <br> Income Tax <br> payers - in <br> thousands | Total income - in <br> millions | Total Income <br> Tax liability - in <br> millions | Average rate of <br> Income Tax |
| $£ 15,000$ | 2,770 | 38,000 | 611 | $1.6 \%$ |
| $£ 20,000$ | 5,890 | 102,000 | 4,960 | $4.8 \%$ |
| $£ 30,000$ | 10,100 | 249,000 | 22,200 | $8.9 \%$ |
| $£ 50,000$ | 10,200 | 393,000 | 48,500 | $12.3 \%$ |
| $£ 10,000$ | 5,320 | 347,000 | 65,300 | $18.8 \%$ |
| $£ 150,000$ | 864 | 103,000 | 30,500 | $29.6 \%$ |
| $£ 200,000$ | 253 | 42,900 | 14,700 | $34.2 \%$ |
| $£ 500,000$ | 313 | 91,000 | 34,800 | $38.3 \%$ |
| $£ 1,000,000$ | 57 | 38,100 | 15,50 | $40.8 \%$ |
| $£ 2,000,000+$ | 19 | 25,200 | 10,300 | $40.7 \%$ |
| All Ranges | 9 | 45,300 | 18,000 | $39.7 \%$ |
|  | 35,900 | $1,480,000$ | 265,000 | $18 \%$ |

The table below considers the following for each tax bracket:
(1) Tax bracket e.g. $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$
(2) Total number of tax payers, for the income bracket of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$ this would be $2,770,000$.
(3) Total income tax receipts = for the income bracket of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$ this would be 38,000,000,000 i.e. 38 billion
(4) Average income for each tax bracket. e.g. for the income bracket of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$ this would be 38 billion divided by $2,770,000$ which is $£ 13,718$.
(5) Tax paid on $£ 13,718$. In this case it would be 13,718-£12,570 (personal tax allowance is deducted) $=£ 1,148$ $£ 1,148 \times 20 \%=£ 229$.
(6) Tax payable if the income tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ : In this case it would be $£ 1,148 \times 21 \%$ $=£ 241$.
(7) Difference between tax at current rate and increased rate which in this case would be $£ 241$ $£ 229=£ 12$.
(8) Difference in tax receipt for each income bracket multiplied by the number of payers in that income bracket. In this case $£ 2,770,000 \times £ 12=£ 33,461,600$
(9) Summary: In this case if the total tax percentage was increased by $1 \%$ for those whose income in the range of $£ 12,750$ to $£ 15,000$, based on a calculation of the average (mean) figure for the income, that would result in an increase in the overall income tax receipts of £33.46 million.
(10) Income net of tax on current figures $£ 13,718-£ 229=£ 13,489$. However, there would also be National Insurance of approximately $£ 138$ which would have to be deducted to give the final disposable net income of $£ 13,489$ - $£ 138$ equals $£ 13,351$
(11)Income net of tax based on $1 \%$ increase in income tax rates. $£ 13,477$. Assuming National Insurance rates do not change then again there would be approximate $£ 138$ to deduct leaving a total of $£ 13,477$ - $£ 138$ equals $£ 13,339$. Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=\mathbf{£} \mathbf{2 5 6}$ per week.
(1) $£ 15,000-£ 20,000$
(2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket is 5,890,000
(3) Total income received from all income earners in this bracket is 102 billion
(4) Average income for those in this tax bracket $(102,000,000,000 \div 5,890,000)$ = $£ 17,317$
(5) Tax paid on $£ 17,317$ is as follows: first $£ 12,570=£ 0, £ 12,570$ to $£ 17,317$
equals $£ 4,747$ and $£ 4,747$ multiplied by $20 \%$ equals $£ 949$.
(6) Tax payable if income rate was increased by $1 \%$ would be $£ 4,747 \times 21 \%$ which is $£ 996$
(7) As such difference in income tax at 21\% and $20 \%$ is $996-£ 949=£ 47$
(8) Difference in tax receipt for increase in income tax of $£ 47$ per person (multiplied by number of payers in that bracket). In this case it would be 5,890,000 multiplied by $£ 47=$ 276,830,000
(1) $\mathbf{£ 2 0 , 0 0 0 - £ 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ income bracket
(2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket 10,100,000
(3) Total income received from all persons in this bracket is 249,000 million ie 249 billion
(4) Average income for those in this tax bracket $(249,000,000,000 \div 10.1$ million) is £24,653.
(5) Tax payable on $£ 24,653$ is as follows:
first $£ 12,570$ equals $£ 0$ $£ 12,570$ to $£ 24,653$ equals $£ 12,083$
£12,083 multiplied by $20 \%$ equals £2,416
(6) If tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ the amount of tax would rise to $£ 2537$
(7) i.e. a difference of $£ 121$ between that and taxes at 20\%
(8) So the difference in tax receipt overall for a $1 \%$ increase in tax rate for all income earners in this bracket would be $£ 121$ multiplied by 10.1 million equals 1.2 billion
(9) Summary: in this case a total income tax percentage increase of $1 \%$ (or one penny in the pound) would lead to an increase in overall tax receipts of £0. 276 billion
(10)Income net of tax on current figures would be $£ 17,317$ less $£ 949=£ 16,368$. However, there would also be approximately $£ 570$ national insurance contribution based on current rates to also be deducted leaving a total of $£ 16,368-£ 570=£ 15,798$
(11)Income net of tax on figures based on $1 \%$ increase in income tax rates would be $£ 17,317-£ 996=£ 16,321$ (also having deducted approx' $£ 570$ for national insurance contributions this result in $£ 15,751$ per annum.

## Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=£ 302$ per week.

(9) So in summary the extra tax raised by increasing the tax rate by $1 \%$ (or one penny in the pound) is $£ 1.2$ billion.
(10) The income, net of tax, based on current income income tax rates would be approximately $£ 24,653-£ 2,416=$ £22,237 per annum. Note that National Insurance contributions would be $£ 1,450$ (approximately) and as such the total disposable income for the year would be 22,237-1450 = £20,787 per tax payer.
(11)The income net of income tax should it be a $1 \%$ increase in tax would be $£ 24,653$ $£ 2537=£ 22,116$ (note assuming national insurance contribution rates do not change there would need to be a further $£ 1450$ approximately deducted from this figure to give $£ 20,666)$. Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=£ 397$ per week.
(1) $\mathbf{£ 3 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{£ 5 0 , 0 0 0}=$ income tax bracket
(2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket is 10.2 million.
(9) In summary an increase of 1\% in tax rate would for this income tax bracket resulted in an increase tax revenue for income tax of $£ 2.65$ billion.
(3) Total income for all tax payers in this bracket is $£ 393$ billion.
(4) As such average income for those in this tax bracket would be $£ 393,000,000,000 \div 10.2$ million i.e. average of $£ 38,529$ per person.
(5) Tax payable on $£ 38,529$ is as follows:
first $£ 12,570$ equals $£ 0$
$£ 12,570$ to $38,529=£ 25,959$ tax at $20 \%$ equals $£ 5,191$
(6) If tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ the amount of tax would rise to $£ 5,451$.
(7) The difference in tax between $20 \%$ rate in $21 \%$ rate is $£ 5451-£ 5191$ equals £260
(8) If the tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ the amount of tax produced would rise to £260 multiplied by 10.2 million equals 2.65 billion
(10)The income net of tax based on the current income tax rates would be approximately $£ 38,529-£ 5191$ which equals $£ 33,338$. (Note that National Insurance contributions would be approximately $£ 3,115$ and as such the income net of income tax and national insurance contributions would be $£ 33,338-£ 3115=£ 30,223$ per annum.
(11)The income net of income tax should it be increased by $1 \%$ would be $£ 38,529$ minus $£ 5451$ which is $£ 33,078$ (note as above if National Insurance contributions remain the same there would be a further deduction of $£ 3115$ and a search total disposable income net of income tax and national insurance contributions would be £29,960)
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=£ 576$ per week.
(9) in summary the additional income tax raised by 1 p in the pound (ie by $1 \%$ ) would be sufficient to raise an additional $£ 2.798$ billion
(10)An individual's take-home pay after income tax would be $£ 65,225-13,522=$ $£ 51,703$. Note that assuming national insurance contributions remain the same there would be an additional national insurance contribution of approx. $£ 4823$ leaving a total disposable income of after national insurance and income tax deductions $£ 51,703-£ 4823=£ 46,880$
(11)If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the take-home pay after income tax would be $£ 65,225$ less £14,048 equals 51,177 which after the deduction of national insurance contribution of $£ 4823$ would leave a disposable income of approximately £46,354 per annum.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=£ 891$ per week.
(1) $£ \mathbf{1 0 0}, 000-£ 150,000$ income tax bracket
(2) Total number of taxpayers in this bracket 864,000 people
(3) Total income in this tax bracket is 103 billion
(4) As such average income for those in this tax bracket would be $£ 103,000,000,000 \div 864,000=£ 119,212$
(5) Tax payable on $£ 119,212$ is as follows: Tax on first $£ 2,964=£ 0(£ 119,2122 / 2=$ $£ 9606, £ 12,570-£ 9606=£ 2,964$ ) tax payable on $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ equals £7540
tax payable on next amount ( $£ 119,212$
less $£ 2,964+£ 37,700=£ 78,548$ ) equals at a $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ rate $\mathbf{£} \mathbf{3 1 , 4 1 9}$
i.e. total tax of $£ 38,959$
(6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then this would lead to an increase in tax of approximately $£ 1,162$ calculated as follows - No tax on first $£ 2,964$. $£ 37,700$ multiply by $21 \%=£ 7,917$, $£ 78,548$ multiplied by $41 \%$ equals £32204. Total $£ 40,121$
(7) this means that an increase of $1 \%$ would result for each individual in an increase of tax of approximately $£ 1162$ if the tax rate was increased by $1 \%$ then the additional income tax raised for this income tax bracket would be 864,000 multiplied by $£ 1162$ which equals 1.004 billion
(1) $\mathbf{£ 1 5 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{£} \mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 253,000
(3) The total income for this bracket is 42,900 million
(4) As such the average income would be 42,900 million $\div 253,000$ which gives an average income of $£ 169,565$
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 169,565$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax
the additional $£ 87440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
(9) In summary the additional income tax raised by increasing the rate by 1 p in the pound (i.e. by $1 \%$ ) would be sufficient to raise an additional £1.004 billion.
(10) An individual's take-home pay after income tax for this bracket would be $£ 119,212$ $£ 38,959$ which gives disposable income of $£ 80,253$ before national insurance contribution deductions of $£ 5902$ which, after income tax and national insurance, leaves a total disposable income for the year of $£ 74351$.
(11) if there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the take-home pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 40,121$ and $£ 5902$ would be $£ 73,189$.

## Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=£ 1407$ per week.

(9) in summary an increase in the income tax rate of $1 p$ in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 3.335$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be $£ 169,565$ less income tax of approximately $£ 62,507=$ $£ 107,058$ Less NIC of $£ 6,910=£ 100,148$ (11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the take-home pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 63825$ and $£ 6910$ would be $£ 98,830$.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=\mathbf{£ 1 9 0 0}$ per week.
beyond that the remaining $£ 44,425$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 19,991$. Giving a total tax of $£ 62,507$.
(6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 169,565$ would be $£ 7,540+£ 35850+20,435$ giving a total tax of $£ 63825$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of $£ 1318$
(8) This would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 1,318$ multiplied by 253,000 giving a total of $£ 3.335$ billion
(1) $£ \mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{£ 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 313,000
(3) The total income for this bracket is $£ 91$ billion
(4) As such the average income would be $£ 91$ billion $\div 313,000$ which gives an average income of $£ 290,734$
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 290,734$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax
the additional $£ 87,440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of $£ 125,140$ is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
beyond that the remaining $£ 165,594$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 74,517$. Giving a total tax of $£ 117,033$.
(6) if tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 290,734$ would be $£ 7,540+£ 35,850+76,173$ giving a total tax of $£ 119,563$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of $£ 2,530$
(8) This would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 2,530$ multiplied by 313,000 giving a total of $£ 7.91$ billion
(1) $£ 500,000-£ 1,000,000$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 57,000 .
(3) The total income for this bracket is 38.1 billion.
(4) As such the average income would be 31.8 billion $\div 57,000$ which gives an average income of $£ 668,421$
(9) In summary an increase in the income tax rate of $1 p$ in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 7.91$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be 290,734 less income tax of approximately $£ 117,033=$ $£ 173,701$ Less NIC of $£ 9333=£ 164,368$
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the take-home pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 119,563$ and $£ 9,333$ would be $£ 161,838$.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=\mathbf{£ 3 1 1 2}$ per week.
(9) In summary an increase in the income tax rate of $1 p$ in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 3.954$ billion (10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be $£ 668,421$ less income tax of approximately $£ 286992=$ $£ 381,429$ Less NIC of $£ 16,887=£ 364,542$
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the take-home pay after income tax
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 668,421$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax
the additional $£ 87440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
beyond that the remaining $£ 543,281$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 244,476$. Giving a total tax of £286,992
(6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 668,421$ would be $£ 7,540+£ 35,850+249,909$ giving a total tax of £293,299
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of tax taken of $£ 6,307$
(8) this would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 6,307$ multiplied by 57,000 giving a total of $£ 3.954$ billion
(1) $£ 1,000,000-£ 2,000,000$ is the income tax bracket
(2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 19,000
(3) The total income for this bracket is 25.2 billion
(4) As such the average income would be 25.2 billion $\div 19,000$ which gives an average income of $£ 1,326,315$
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 1,326,315$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37,700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of

> tax
the additional $£ 87,440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$
beyond that the remaining $£ 1,201,175$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 540,528$. Giving a total tax of $£ 583,044$
(6) if tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 1,326,315$ would be $7,540+£ 35850+£ 552,540$ giving a total tax of £595,940
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of tax taken of $£ 12,896$
(8) this would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 12,896$ multiplied by 19,000 giving a total of $£ 2.5$ billion
(1) $£ 2,000,000$ plus is the income tax bracket (2) The total number tax payers in this bracket is 9,000
and national insurance of $£ 293,299$ and $£ 16887$ would be $£ 358,235$.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=\mathbf{£ 6 8 8 9}$ per week.
(9) In summary an increase in the income tax rate of $1 p$ in the $£$ would lead to an increase in tax revenue of $£ 2.45$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current 23/24 tax rates would be $£ 1,326,315$ less income tax of approximately $£ 583,044=$ $£ 743,271$ Less NIC of $£ 30,045=£ 713,226$
(11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the take-home pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 595,940$ and $£ 30,045$ would be $£ 700,330$
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=£ 13,467$ per week.
(9) Summary: Increase of income tax rates of
$1 \%$ would lead to additional tax for this bracket
of income of $£ 4.417$ billion.
(3) The total income for this bracket is 45.3 billion
(4) As such the average income would be 45.3 billion $\div 9,000$ which gives an average income of $£ 5,033,333$
(5) Tax payable on this average income of $£ 5,033,033$ will be as follows:
up to $£ 37700$ at $20 \%$ will give $£ 7540$ worth of tax
the additional $£ 87440$ taking it up to the next ceiling of 125,140 is taxed at $40 \%$ giving a total figure of approximately $£ 34,976$ beyond that the remaining $£ 4,908,193$ is taxed at $45 \%$ rate giving $£ 2,208,686$. Giving a total tax of $£ \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2 5 1 , 2 0 2}$
(6) If tax was increased by $1 \%$ then the tax on the average income of $£ 5,033,333$ would be
$7,540+£ 35850+£ 2,257,768$ giving a total tax of $£ 2, \mathbf{3 0 0}, \mathbf{2 8 4}$
(7) i.e. the $1 \%$ increase would lead to an additional tax amount of tax taken of $£ 49,082$
(8) this would lead to an additional tax revenue for the Exchequer of $£ 49,082$ multiplied by 9,000 giving a total of $£ 4.417$ billion
(10) An individual's average take home pay on current $23 / 24$ tax rates would be $£ 5,033,333$ less income tax of approximately $£ 2,251,202=$ $£ 2,782,131$ Less NIC of $£ 104,185=£ 2,677,946$ (11) If there was to be an income tax increase of $1 \%$ then the take-home pay after income tax and national insurance of $£ 2,300,284$ and £104,185 would be $£ 2,628,864$.
Disposable income after income tax and NIC deduction $=£ 50,555$ per week.

The additional amount of tax raised by a $1 \%$ increase in tax would be approximately as follows:

| Income Range | Tax raised by 1\% increase in tax billions unless <br> otherwise shown |
| :--- | :--- |
| $£ 12,570-£ 15,000$ | 33.46 million |
| $£ 15,000-£ 20,000$ | 0.276 |
| $£ 20,000-£ 30,000$ | 1.2 |
| $£ 30,000-£ 50,000$ | 2.65 |
| $£ 50,000-£ 100,000$ | 2.798 |
| $£ 100,000-£ 150,000$ | 1.004 |
| $£ 150,000-£ 200,000$ | 3.335 |
| $£ 200,000-£ 500,000$ | 7.91 |
| $£ 500,000-£ 1,000,000$ | 3.954 |
| $£ 1,000,000-£ 2,000,000$ | 2.45 |
| $£ 2,000,000+$ | 4.417 |
|  | $£ 29.994$ billion |

## Summary

Although various politicians like to equate lowering taxes with increasing GDP the evidence on this topic is not clear. Indeed there are many studies which indicate little or no effect and instead some practical evidence (USA economic data) showing a rise in GDP for a period from 1993 in which tax rates were increased and this rise being to a greater extent than the increase in GDP following tax cuts in 2001

It is generally acknowledged that public services are in severe difficulties, the most prominent example being found at https://www.bma.org.uk/advice-and-support/nhs-delivery-and-workforce/pressures/nhs-backlog-data-analysis which shows at Sep 23:

The latest figures for September 2023 show:

- A record high waiting list of 7.77 million, consisting of approximately 6.5 million individual patients waiting for treatment,
- nearly 3.29 million of these patients waiting over 18 weeks;
- around 391,000 of these patients waiting over a year for treatment - which is around 254 times as many as in September 2019, before the pandemic began.
- a median waiting time for treatment of 14.7 weeks - almost double the pre-COVID median wait of 8 weeks in September 2019.

In the final analysis you don't often get something for nothing.
So, in the face of these papers and statistics surely it is worth considering increasing taxes rather than seemingly accepting, unchallenged, the "emperor's clothes" argument that the only way to make people off is to cut taxes. Wouldn’t it be better to spend $£ 30$ billion on Education or Health or other vital services.

